

Asian Research Journal of Agriculture

7(3): 1-7, 2017; Article no.ARJA.38290 ISSN: 2456-561X

Comparative Risks of Several Insecticides towards Honeybee Workers

M. M. Azab^{1*} and Amany R. Morsy¹

¹Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Benha University, Egypt.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Author MMA conceived, designed the research and wrote the manuscript. Both authors conducted the experiments, analyzed the data, reviewed, read and approved the manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/ARJA/2017/38290 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) Jean Beguinot, Department of Biogeosciences, University of Burgundy, France. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Vagner de Alencar Arnaut de Toledo, State University of Maringá, Brazil. (2) Azidah Abdul Aziz, University of Malaya, Malaysia. (3) Paul Mensah, Rhodes University, South Africa. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/22430</u>

Original Research Article

Received 21st November 2017 Accepted 18th December 2017 Published 23rd December 2017

ABSTRACT

The risk level of several insecticides of various chemical classes was estimated for honeybee workers, *Apis mellifera* L. (Hymenoptera: Apidae). Lethal time calculation was used to risk assessment for honeybees. Bioassay tests were conducted with six insecticides [dinotefuran (neonicotinoid), methomyl (carbamate), profenofos (organophosphate), azadirachtin (botanical-bioinsecticide), spinosad (bioinsecticide - an extract of the fermentation broth of soil actinomycete) and chlorfluazuron (IGR)] on honeybee workers by the insecticide / food mixture technique, at seven concentrations as ratios of recommended field rate [F (ug a. i. mL⁻¹)], for 15 days. Results revealed that dinotefuran was significantly the most toxic to bees, which gave the shortest median lethal times (LT_{50s}), 4.4, 4.9, 5.8, 6.4 and 10.3 days at concentrations of $1F \times 10^{-2}$, $5F \times 10^{-3}$, $1F \times 10^{-3}$, $5F \times 10^{-4}$ and $1F \times 10^{-4}$, respectively. Moreover, it gave 100% bee mortality after one day exposure time, at two higher concentrations, ($1F \times 10^{-1}$) and ($5F \times 10^{-2}$). The toxicity order of the tested insecticides for honey bees (Based on LT_{95s}) varied by the reducing in their concentrations, whereas it was: dinotefuran > methomyl > profenofos > azadirachtin > dinotefuran > profenofos > chlorfluazuron > methomyl > spinosad at the lowest concentrations. It was concluded that the

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: azabmohamed@hotmail.com, mohamed.azab@fagr.bu.edu.eg;

interaction among insecticide concentration, exposure time and its chemical class plays a great role in the risk level on honeybee workers. Spinosad and chlorfluazuron were significantly less toxic in comparison to the other insecticides tested and they can be safely applied to crops.

Keywords: Dinotefuran; methomyl; profenofos; azadirachtin; chlorfluazuron; spinosad; bioassay tests; Apis mellifera; lethal time.

1. INTRODUCTION

Honey bees are significantly important to the environment, conserving biodiversity by providing essential pollination for a wide range of crops and wild plants. And for the important ecological and economic value of honey bees, there is a need to maintain healthy bee insects, not just locally or nationally, but globally. Pesticides have been targeted as a major factor, causing not only direct losses, but also reductions in honey and wax production and pollination benefits. The role played by honey bees in increasing the crop yield is 10-20 times greater than their values of honey production [1,2]. The increase in pesticides application for agriculture has exposed honey bees to a continual array of chemicals, including insecticides, fungicides, herbicides and insect growth regulators. As a result, residues of many pesticides and metabolites have been found in honey, beeswax and pollen, as well as adult and pupal bees [3,4,5,6]. A number of these compounds have also been shown to have sublethal effects on bees, causing delayed development, shortened adult longevity and immune system impairment [7,8]. Insecticides caused a serious threat to bees because bees are insects and, therefore, are susceptible to any poison that was designed to kill insect pests. Consequently, strict toxicity studying was and still is required before such chemicals can be registered for applying to crop protection [9,10, 11]. Neonicotinoids exhibited a significantly higher toxicity compared to all the other chemical classes [12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21]. In addition, several botanical insecticides, which are often touted as safe and environmentally friendly. might generate acute toxicity and sub-lethal effects on honey bees [22,23,24]. Many studies have well demonstrated that the time of exposure may strongly impact on mortality of honey bees to sub-lethal doses [25,26,27]. exposed Frequently, bees expose to pesticides and ingest their residues from contaminated pollen and nectar of crop plants and weeds [28]. Sub-lethal doses can also lead to mortality of 20 or 30% of honey bees [11]. Generally, sub-lethal doses create toxic effects that do not kill the honey bees but still affect their health [29.30]. The classic principle of toxicology was "the

concentration makes the toxicant," and its modern version is "the concentration and the time of exposure make the toxicant." These two factors. concentration and time help us understand the severity effects that pesticides may have on honey bees and their risk [11]. The purpose of this study is to compare the risk levels of various insecticides which belong different chemical classes, dinotefuran (neonicotinoid), methomyl (carbamate), profenofos (organophosphate), azadirachtin (botanicalbioinsecticide), spinosad (bioinsecticide - an extract of the fermentation broth of soil actinomycete) and chlorfluazuron (IGR) on honeybee workers.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Insecticides

Dinotefuran: (RS)-1-methyl-2-nitro-3-(tetrahydro -3-furylmethyl) guanidine.

Methomyl: methyl (1E)-N-(methylcarbamoyloxy) ethanimidothioate.

Profenofos: O-4-bromo-2-chlorophenyl O-ethyl S-propyl phosphorothioate.

Azadirachtin: dimethyl (3S,3aR,4S,5S,5aR,5a1 R,7aS,8R,10S,10aS)-8- acetoxy- 3,3a,4,5, 5a,5a1,7a,8,9,10-decahydro-3,5- dihydroxy-4-{(1S,3S,7S,8R,9S,11R)-7-hydroxy-9-methyl-2,4,10-trioxatetracyclo [6.3.1.03,7.09,11] dodec-5-en-11- yl}- 4- methyl-10[(E)-2-methylbut-2enoyloxy]-1H,7Hnaphtho[1,8a,8-bc:4,4ac']difuran-3,7a- dicarboxylate.

Spinosad: (a mixture of 50-95% of spinosyn A and 50-5% spinosyn D) Spinosyn A: (2R, 3aS, 5aR, 5bS, 9S, 13S, 14R, 16aS, 16bR) - 2- (6deoxy-2,3,4-tri-O-methyl-Lmannopαyranosyloxy) - 13 - (4- dimethylamino - 2, 3, 4, 6tetradeoxy- β-Derythrop-yranosyloxy)-9-ethyl-2, 3, 3a, 5a, 5b, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16a, 16bhexadecahydro-14methyl -1H-8 oxacvclododeca [b] as-indacene-7.15-dione. Spinosyn D: (2S, 3aR, 5aS, 5bS, 9S, 13S, 14R, 16aS, 16bR) - 2- (6-deoxy-2, 3, 4- tri- O- methylα-Lmannop-yranosyloxy) -13- (4-dimethylamino -

2, 3, 4, 6- tetradeoxy- β -Derythrop-yranosyloxy)-9-ethyl-2, 3, 3a, 5a, 5b, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16a, 16b hexadecahydro-4,14-dimethyl-1H-8-oxacyclododeca [b] as-indacene-7,15dione.

Chlorfluazuron: 1-[3,5-dichloro-4-(3-chloro-5trifluoromethyl-2-pyridyloxy) phenyl] -3-(2, 6difluorobenzoyl) urea.

The trade name, formulation, producing company, insecticide class and recommended field rate of the tested insecticides were presented in Table 1.

2.2 Honeybee Workers

Apis mellifera L. workers of one day age were obtained from hives maintained in an apiary at the experimental farm of the Faculty of Agriculture, Benha University, Egypt. They were then placed in the laboratory refrigerator at 4°C for approximately 10 min to slow bee movement. Then they were transferred to wooden three-hole Benton cages, with 5 bees per cage.

2.3 Bioassay

Bees were deprived of food for 4 h prior to insecticide exposure. Bee workers were fed on candy [(4 powdered sugar: 1 honey) - 40 g /cage] which contained 1 mL of insecticide water solution (stock solutions) to give the required concentration level (in case of control treatment only 1 mL of water was added). The experimental cages of each insecticide were divided into 7 concentrations, $1F \times 10^{-1}$, $5F \times 10^{-2}$,

 $1F \times 10^{-2}$, $5F \times 10^{-3}$, $1F \times 10^{-3}$, $5F \times 10^{-4}$ and $1F \times 10^{-4}$, where F was the recommended field rate of the applied insecticides. Each concentration and an untreated control consisted of five repetitions. Bee cages were held in an incubator (24 h darkness; $32\pm 2^{\circ}$ C; 70% RH) [31]. Mortality was recorded after 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 10 and 15 days of the experiment.

2.4 Statistical Analysis

A probit computer program was used to determine the lethal times for the insecticides [32,33]. A significant difference between LT_{50} values (the time required for 50% of the insects to die following exposure to a level concentration of the test insecticide) was based on overlap of 95% confidence intervals [34].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of Table 2 show, at the highest concentration tested $(1F \times 10^{-1})$, dinotefuran (neonicotinoid) and methomyl (carbamate) were the most toxic to honeybee workers that gave 100% mortality after one day exposure time, followed by profenofos (organophosphate) which had the least lethal times, LT₁₅, LT₅₀ and LT₉₅ of 1.0, 1.9 and 4.9 days, respectively, whereas spinosad (bioinsecticide) gave the longest lethal time (LT₉₅), 23.7 days. Lethal times (LT_{95s}) of both azadirachtin (botanical-bioinsecticide) and chlorfluazuron (IGR) were longer than profenofos and at same time, shorter than spinosad. At concentration of $(5F \times 10^{-2})$, dinotefuran is still the most toxic and gave 100% of bee mortality after one day exposure time. Methomyl, profenofos

Table 1. The trade name, formulation, producing company, insecticide class and recommended field rate of the tested insecticides

Insecticide	Producing company	Insecticide class	Recommended field rate (F) ug (a.i) mL ⁻¹
Dinotefuran (Oshin 20% SG)	Sumitomo Chemical Co., Japan.	Neonicotinoid	250.0
Methomyl (Lannate 90% WSP)	E. I. du Pont de Nemours, USA.	Carbamate	1350.0
Profenofos (Selecron 72% EC)	Syngenta chemical Co. AG, Switzerland.	Organophosphate	1353.6
Azadirachtin (Achook 0.15% EC)	Bahar Agrochem. and Foods Pvt. Ltd., India.	Bioinsecticide (botanical)	562.5×10 ⁻²
Spinosad (Tracer 24% SC)	Dow AgroSciences Co., India.	Bioinsecticide (an extract of the fermentation broth of soil actinomycete bacterium, Saccharopolyspora spinosa)	60.0
Chlorfluazuron (Topron 5% EC)	Agrochem. Co., Egypt.	Benzoyl phenyl urea (IGR)	100.0

Insecticide	Concentration		Lethal times and their 95% confidence limits		
	Field	ug (a.i.) mL ⁻¹	-		
	recommendation		(Days)		
	rate (F)		LT ₁₅	LT ₅₀	LT ₉₅
Dinotefuran		25.0	NC ₁	NC ₁	NC ₁
Methomyl		135.0	NC ₁	NC ₁	NC ₁
Profenofos		1353.6×10 ⁻¹	1.0(0.9-1.2) ^a	1.9(1.7-2.1) ^a	4.9(4.3-5.8) ^a
Azadirachtin	1F×10 ⁻¹	562.5×10 ⁻³	2.3(1.9-2.6) ^b	3.7(3.4-4.1) ^b	8.2(7.4-9.6) ^b
Spinosad		6.0	2.0(1.8-3.0) ^b	5.2(3.6-7.6) ^{bc}	23.7(13.6-37.4) ^c
Chlorfluazuron		10.0	4.7(4.2-5.0) ^c	6.3(6.0-6.6) ^c	10.2(9.4-11.5) ^b
Dinotefuran		12.5	NC ₁	NC ₁	NC ₁
Methomyl		67.5	1.2(0.1-2.3) ^a	2.5(0.6-3.5) ^a	7.3(6.2-11.2) ^a
Profenofos	5F×10 ⁻²	676.8×10 ⁻¹	2.3(1.9-2.7) ^{ab}	3.8(3.5-4.1) ^a	8.2(7.2-10.0) ^a
Azadirachtin		2812.5×10⁻⁴	4.2(3.7-4.6) ^c	5.6(5.3-5.9) ^D	8.8(7.9-10.5) ^a
Spinosad		3.0	3.0(2.5-3.6) [⊳]	10.1(6.1-14.7) ^c	67.0(53.4-75.9) ^c
Chlorfluazuron		5.0	4.7(4.0-5.1) ^c	7.1(6.7-7.6) ^c	14.0(12.2-17.6) ^b
Dinotefuran		2.5	3.2(2.4-3.8) ^a	4.4(3.8-4.8) ^a	7.2(6.6-8.4) ^a
Methomyl	2	13.5	3.8(2.4-4.9) ^{abc}	7.5(6.3-8.3) ^b	21.4(17.2-32.2) ^c
Profenofos	1F×10 ⁻²	1353.6×10 ⁻²	4.2(3.4-4.9)	7.6(7.1-8.1) ^b	12.2(11.2-13.9) ^b
Azadirachtin		562.5×10 ⁻⁵	4.5(3.9-4.8)~~	5.9(5.6-6.2)	9.3(8.4-11.3) ^{ab}
Spinosad		0.6	3.5(1.9-4.6) ^{ab}	15.3(11.0-25.0) [°]	160.6(116.5-239.4) [°]
Chlorfluazuron		1.0	5.6(4.7-6.3) ^c	10(9.0-11.8) ^d	24.8(18.3-44.0) ^c
Dinotefuran		12.5×10 ⁻¹	3.4(2.5-4.0) ^a	4.9(4.3-5.2) ^a	8.4(7.5-10.6) ^a
Methomyl	2	67.5×10 ⁻¹	4.8(3.9-5.6) ^{abc}	10.5(9.5-11.9) ^d	36.2(27.0-58.6) ^d
Profenofos	5F×10 ⁻³	676.8×10 ⁻²	4.8(4.2-5.4)	8.0(7.1-8.9) ^c	17.3(15.3-20.5) ^{bc}
Azadirachtin		2812.5×10⁻⁵	4.8(4.2-5.1) [°]	6.5(6.1-6.9) ^b	10.0(9.3-12.9)°
Spinosad		0.3	4.6(2.5-6.0)	19.6(14.5-39.6)°	193.9(173.0-243.9) ^e
Chlorfluazuron		0.5	6.3(5.6-6.8)°	9.8(9.0-11.0) ^d	19.7(16.0-28.3) ^{cd}
Dinotefuran		2.5×10 ⁻¹	4.1(3.5-4.5) ^a	5.8(5.4-6.3) ^a	10.4(9.3-12.1) ^a
Methomyl	2	13.5×10 ⁻¹ ੍ਹ	5.5(3.9-6.7) ^{ab}	14.3(12.2-18.3) ^c	64.8(39.9-88.5) ^c
Profenofos	1F×10 ⁻³	1353.6×10ॄ ⁻³	5.4(4.6-6.0) ^{bc}	8.4(7.8-9.1) ^b	22.5(18.9-28.7) ^b
Azadirachtin		562.5×10 ⁻⁵	5.1(4.7-5.5) ^b	6.7(6.3-7.2) ^a	10.4(9.5-11.7) ^a
Spinosad		0.6×10 ⁻¹	NC ₂	NC ₂	NC ₂
Chlorfluazuron		0.1	7.0(6.0-7.8) ^c	13.3(11.1-20.0) ^c	37.4(23.5-62.0) ^c
Dinotefuran		12.5×10 ⁻²	4.3(3.8-4.8) ^a	6.4(5.9-6.9) ^a	11.7(10.6-13.5) ^a
Methomyl	4	67.5×10 ⁻²	5.8(3.8-7.1) ^{abc}	17.4(14.1-26.5) ^d	101.4(82.0-128.5) ^c
Profenofos	5F×10 ⁻⁴	676.8×10 ⁻³	5.7(4.9-6.2) ^b	9.2(8.5-10.0) ^b	25.4(21.1-32.6) ^b
Azadirachtin		2812.5×10 ⁻⁶	7.1(6.7-7.4) ^c	8.4(8.1-8.7) ^b	10.9(10.4-11.8) ^a
Spinosad		0.3×10^{-1}		NC ₂	NC ₂
Chlorfluazuron		0.5×10 ⁻¹	7.7(7.1-8.3) ^c	11.6(10.4-13.8) ^c	21.8(17.1-34.7) ^b
Dinotefuran		2.5×10^{-2}	$4.7(3.8-5.3)^{a}$	10.3(8.2-17.5) ^{ab}	36.4(20.2-57.0) ^b
Methomyl	4 - 40-4	13.5×10 ⁻²	$6.2(4.4-7.9)^{ab}$	32.6(20.7-57.8) ^c	255.7(210.6-313.0) ^c
Profenofos	1F×10 ⁻⁴	1353.6×10 ⁻⁴	5.9(4.0-7.4) ^{ab}	16.1(13.2-22.4) ^{bc}	78.1(44.6-92.6) ^b
Azadirachtin		562.5×10 ⁻⁶	7.1(6.7-7.4) ^b	8.6(8.3-8.9) ^a	11.6(10.9-12.7) ^a
Spinosad		0.6×10 ⁻²			
Chlorfluazuron		0.1×10 ⁻¹	10.1(8.8-13.5) ^c	17.9(13.4-45.1) ^{bc}	44.4(24.4-66.6) ^b

Table 2. The lethal times of tested insecticides to the honeybee workers at 7 concentrations as ratios of the field recommendation rate

 NC_1 : Not calculated where the mortality of honey bees was 100% at tested days

 NC_2 : Not calculated where the mortality of honey bees was zero at tested days

Different lowercase letters within each column of each concentration indicate significant differences (p < 0.05)

and azadirachtin gave the least lethal times (LT_{95s}) , 7.3, 8.2 and 8.8 days, respectively. Also, spinosad gave the longest lethal time (LT_{95}) , 67.0 days. Although, the lethal time (LT_{95}) of chlorfluazuron was longer than methomyl, profenofos and azadirachtin, it was shorter than spinosad. In general data confirmed that dinotefuran gave the significant shortest lethal times (LT_{50s}) , 4.4, 4.9, 5.8 and 6.4 days at the

concentrations $1F \times 10^{-2}$, $5F \times 10^{-3}$, $1F \times 10^{-3}$ and $5F \times 10^{-4}$, respectively. At the lowest concentration of $(1F \times 10^{-4})$, lethal time (LT_{95}) of azadirachtin became significantly the shortest (11.6 days) in comparison to the other insecticides tested. However, toxicity of methomyl and profenofos decreased by the decrease in the concentrations to the lowest one $(1F \times 10^{-4})$. Their lethal times (LT_{95s}) increased to 255.7 and 78.1 days in

comparison to dinotefuran and azadirachtin insecticides, respectively. On the other hand, the three lowest concentrations of spinosad, $1F \times 10^{-3}$, $5F \times 10^{-4}$ and $1F \times 10^{-4}$, gave no bee mortality, when bees were fed for 15 days on each of them. Moreover, when spinosad was tested at the higher remained concentrations, it gave the significant longest lethal times of LT_{50s} and LT_{95s} .

In general, research results revealed that all tested insecticides had moderate or high risks to honeybee workers. The toxicity order of the tested insecticides significantly varied as follows, dinotefuran > methomyl > profenofos > azadirachtin > chlorfluazuron > spinosad. A risk of neonicotinoids on bees is not only because of their high toxicity but also due to their specific mode of action, result in killing the honey bees if they are exposed to the pesticide residues for a long time, they are more toxic and persist than the majority of organophosphorus, carbamates and pyrethroids [16,18,19,20,21]. The sub-lethal concentrations caused the mortality of honey bees (A. mellifera L.). This well explained that the time of exposure may strongly the mortality effect [25,26]. This fact gives evidence of the hazard caused by neonicoitoids to honey bees, since very small concentrations may involve a significant impact on mortality. Previous studies showed the toxicity of the insecticides to honeybee workers of A. mellifera was higher with the increase in the exposure period of the insecticides, through the contaminated diets. For example, bee ingested sub-lethal concentrations of imidacloprid for 10 days or 40 days, might cause a high mortality, ranging from 50 to 100%. Moreover, several neonicotinoids show very strong toxicity to bee insects [7,12,13,14,15,17]. On the other hand, the results indicated the toxicity of azadirachtin (the botanical insecticide) to A. mellifera may have a strong mortality effects. It can be achieved with an increase in the exposure time. The present results indicated that by decreasing the concentration of the tested insecticides to the lowest concentration, their toxicity order significantly changed as follows, azadirachtin > dinotefuran > profenofos > chlorfluazuron > methomyl > spinosad. Regarding the effect of azadirachtin, negative effects of neem on adult honey bees were observed [35]. They also reported that this insecticide decreased the amount of larvae in colonies. As well as a significant increase in the mortality of adult workers of A. mellifera with an increase exposure time of the bees to different concentrations of neem oil was reported [27]. It was also observed that the botanical insecticides

had the potential acute toxicity and sub-lethal impacts on honey bees and, herewith, it gives evidence of the importance of evaluating the risks of the side effects of biopesticides. The effects of botanical pesticides were noted, which had been formerly described as "safe" to honey bees [24]. These insecticides led to toxicity to honeybee workers of A. mellifera, which indicates that their use should be avoided through the flowering period in crops when the plants are visited by bees. On the other hand, it was found that the exposure of honeybee workers to spinosad treated foliage under laboratory conditions did not result in the increase mortality, indicating that the intrinsic toxicity of spinosad was observed in acute tests of toxicity. It was not seen under conditions of more realistic exposure [36]. Consequently, to protect A. mellifera population, it is needed to minimize the bee exposure to highly toxic insecticides, which can be realized through the application of insecticides using the basics of ecological selectivity [37]. The insecticide should be used when the honey bees have lower foraging rates for the crops (i.e., late afternoon) [38]. Another practice that can reduce the effect of the insecticides is the closure of the hive opening and the use of artificial feeding on days when pesticides are applied to prevent the contact of bees with the toxicants [23].

4. CONCLUSION

Under the light of the research findings, the insecticide toxicity to bees was great varied by the interaction among a time exposure, a concentration and an insecticide chemical class. Dinotefuran (neonicotinoid) followed by azadirachtin (botanical-bioinsecticide), profenofos (organophosphate) and methomyl (carbamate) had the harmful effect on bee while spinosad was comparatively less toxic followed by chlorfluazuron (IGR) and can be applied to crops.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank all staff members of Plant Protection Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Benha University, <u>http://www.bu.edu.eg</u> for their helps and cooperation throughout the period of this research.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Pimentel D. Environmental and economic costs of the application of pesticides primarily in the United States. In: Integrated Pest Management: Innovation-Development Process, Peshin, R., Dhawan, A., Eds., Springer-Verlag: New York, NY, USA. 2009;1-62.
- Husain D, Qasim M, Saleem M, Akhter M, Khan AK. Bioassay of insecticides against three honeybee species in laboratory conditions. Cercet agron Mold. 2014;158: 69–79.
- 3. Bogdanov S. Contaminants of bee products. Apidologie. 2006;1:1–18.
- Donovan Υ. Reregistration eligibility 4. chlorpyrifos, decision for U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Programs, In: Pesticides: Pesticide Reregistration, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; 2006. Available:http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/rer egistration/REDs/chlorpyrifos_red.pdf
- Johnson RM, Ellis MD, Mullin CA, Frazier M. Pesticides and honeybee toxicity. USA Apidologie. 2010;3:312–331.
- Mullin CA, Frazier M, Frazier JL, Ashcraft S, Simonds R, van Engelsdorp D, Pettis JS. High levels of miticides and agrochemicals in North American apiaries: Implications for Honey Bee Health. PLoS ONE. 2010;3:e9754.
 - doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0009754
- Desneux N, Decourtye A, Delpuech MJ. The sub-lethal effects of pesticides on beneficial arthropods. Annu Rev Entomol. 2007;52:81–106.
- Wu JY, Anelli CM, Sheppard WS. Sublethal effects of pesticide residues in brood comb on worker honeybee (*Apis mellifera*) development and longevity. PLoS ONE. 2011;6:e14720. doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0014720
- 9. OECD. Guidelines for the testing of chemicals: Honey Bees, Acute Oral Toxicity Test; 1998.
- EFSA. Towards an integrated environmental risk assessment of multiple stressors on bees: Review of research projects in Europe, knowledge gaps and recommendations. EFSA J. 2014;3:3594.
- Sánchez-Bayo F, Goka K. Impacts of pesticides on honey bees. Beekeeping and Bee Conservation - Advances in Research. 2016;4:77–97.

- Bortolotti L, Montanari R, Marcelino J, Medrzycki P, Maini S, Porrini C. Effects of sub-lethal imidacloprid doses on the homing rate and foraging activity of honey bees. Bull Insectology. 2003;56:63–68.
- Decourtye A, Armengaud C, Renou M, Devillers J, Cluzeau S, Gauthier M, Pham-Delègue MH. Imidacloprid impairs memory and brain metabolism in the honeybee (*Apis mellifera* L.). Pestic Biochem Physiol. 2004;78:83–92.
- Decourtye A, Devillers J, Cluzeau S, Charreton M, Pham-Delègue MH. Effects of imidacloprid and deltamethrin on associative learning in honey bees under semifield and laboratory conditions. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf. 2004;57:410–419.
- El Hassani AK, Dacher M, Gary V, Lambin M, Gauthier M, Armengaud C. Effects of sublethal doses of acetamiprid and thiamethoxam on the behavior of the honeybee (*Apis mellifera*). Arch Environ ConTox. 2008;54:653–661.
- Decourtye A, Devillers J. Ecotoxicity of neonicotinoid insecticides to bees. In: Advances in experimental medicine and biology - Insect nicotinic acetylcholine receptors, Thany SH editor. Austin TX: Landes Bioscience; 2009.
- Maini S, Medrzycki P, Porrini C. The puzzle of honeybee losses: A brief review. Bull Insectology. 2010;63:153–160.
- Casida JE. Neonicotinoid metabolism: Compounds, substituents, pathways, enzymes, organisms, and relevance. J Agric Food Chem. 2011;7:2923–2931.
- Hladik ML, Kolpin DW, Kuivila KM. Widespread occurrence of neonicotinoid insecticides in streams in a high corn and soybean producing region. USA Environ Pollut. 2014;193:189–96.
- Rondeau G, Sánchez-Bayo F, Tennekes HA, Decourtye A, Ramírez-Romero R, Desneux N. Delayed and time-cumulative toxicity of imidacloprid in bees, ants and termite. Sci Rep. 2014;4:5566.
- Morrissey CA, Mineau P, Devries JH, Sánchez-Bayo F, Liess M, Cavallaro MC, Liber K. Neonicotinoid contamination of global surface waters and associated risk to aquatic invertebrates: A review. Environ Int. 2015;74:291–303.
- 22. Naumann K, Isman MB. Toxicity of neem (*Azadirachta indica* A. uss) seed extracts to larval honey bees and estimation of dangers from field applications. Am Bee J. 1996;136:518–520.

- Riedl H, Johansen E, Brewer L, Barbour J. How to reduce bee poisoning from pesticides. A Pacific Northwest Extension Publication, Oregon State University, University of Idaho, Washington State University; 2006. Available:<u>http://extension.oregonstate.edu/ catalog/pdf/pnw/pnw591.pdf</u>
- Xavier VM, Message D, Picanc, o MC, Chediak M, Santana Ju' nior PA, Ramos RS, Martins JC. Acute toxicity and sublethal effects of botanical insecticides to honey bees. J Insect Sci. 2015;1:137-143.
- 25. Suchail S, Guez D, Belzunces LP. Discrepancy between acute and chronic toxicity induced by imidacloprid and its metabolites in *Apis mellifera*. Environ Toxicol Chem. 2001;11:2482–2486.
- Dechaume Moncharmont F, Decourtye A, Henneguet-Hantier C, Pons O, Pham – Delègue MH. Statistical analysis of honeybee survival after chronic exposure to insecticides. Environ Toxicol Chem. 2003;12:3088–3094.
- Efrom CFS, Redaelli LR, Meirelles RN, Ourique CB. Side-effects of pesticides used in the organic system of production on *Apis mellifera* Linnaeus. Braz Arch Biol Technol. 2012;55:47–53.
- Sánchez-Bayo F, Goka K. Pesticide residues and bees – A risk assessment. PLoS One. 2014;4:e94482. doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0094482.
- 29. Chakrabarti P, Rana S, Sarkar S, Smith B, Basu P. Pesticide-induced oxidative stress in laboratory and field populations of native honey bees along intensive agricultural landscapes in two Eastern Indian states. Apidologie. 2015;1:107–29.
- 30. Zaluski R, Kadri SM, Alonso DP, Martins Ribolla PE, de Oliveira Orsi R. Fipronil promotes motor and behavioral changes in honey bees (*Apis mellifera*) and affects the development of colonies exposed to

sub-lethal doses. Environ Toxicol Chem. 2015; 5:1062–1069.

- Al Naggar Y, Wiseman S, Jianxian S, Cutler GC, Aboul-Soud M, Naiem E, Mohamed M, Seif A, Giesy JP. Effects of environmentally-relevant mixtures of four common organophosphorus insecticides on the honey bee (*Apis mellifera* L.). J Insect Physiol. 2015;82:85–91.
- Noack S, Reichmuth CH. Einrechnerisches Verfahren zur Bestimmung von beliebigen Dosiswerteneines Wirkstoffes ausempirischen Dosis-Wirkungs-Daten. Mitt Biol Bundesanstalt fuer L and u Forts-Wirtschaft Berlin-Dahlem Heft. 1978;185: 1–49.
- Finney DJ. Probit analysis. 3rd. Eddn, Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK; 1971.
- Aydin H, Gürkan MO. The efficacy of spinosad on different strains of *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisduval) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Turk J Biol. 2006;30:5–9.
- 35. Melathopoulos AP. Winston MI Whittington R, Higo H, Le Doux M. Field evaluation of neem and canola oil for the selective control the honevbee of (Hymenoptera: Apidae) mite parasites Varroa jacobsoni (Acari: Varroidae) and Acarapis woodi (Acari: Tarsonemidae), J Econ Entomol. 2000;93:559-567.
- Miles M. The effects of spinosad a naturally derived insect control agent to the honeybee. Bull Insectology. 2003;1:119– 124.
- Bacci L, Picanc o MC, Barros EC, Rosado JF, Silva GA, Silva VF. Physiological selectivity of insecticide to predatory wasps (Hymenoptera: Vespidae) of diamondback moth. Sociobiology. 2009;53:151–167.
- Joshi NC, Joshi PC. Foraging behaviour of Apis spp. on apple flowers in a subtropical environment. New York Sci J. 2010;3: 71–76.

© 2017 Azab and Morsy; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/22430