



SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org

A REAL CONTRACTOR

Sugar Cane Whip Smut (*Sporisorium scitamineum* Syd) Caused Field Sucrose and Juice Quality Losses of Two Sugar Cane Varieties in Nigeria

A. C. Wada^{1*}, A. B. Anaso² and M. S. Bassey¹

¹Sugar Cane Research Programme, National Cereals Research Institute Badeggi, PMB 8, Bida, Niger State, Nigeria. ²Department of Crop Protection, University of Maiduguri, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Author ACW designed the study, wrote the protocol and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Author ABA supervised and directed the work and ensured that the literature searches were adequate and relevant. He also scrutinized analyses of the study and author MSB, cross-checked and fine tuned the final manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2016/24566 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) Francisco Cruz-Sosa, Biotechnology Department, Metropolitan Autonomous University Iztapalapa Campus Av. San Rafael Atlixco 186 México City 09340 Mexico. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Preeya P. Wangsomnuk, Khon Kaen University, Thailand. (2) Anonymous, State University of New York, USA. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/14099</u>

Original Research Article

Received 25th January 2016 Accepted 2nd March 2016 Published 9th April 2016

ABSTRACT

Two sugar cane varieties were evaluated in a split plot design experiment at Badeggi (lat.9°045'N; long 6°07'E at an altitude of 70.57 m.a.s.l) with four whip smut (*Sporisorium scitamineum*) inoculum concentrations 0×10^6 , 2×10^6 , 4×10^6 and 6×10^6 teliospores/ml in four replicates between 1998 and 2000. The field sucrose production (% brix) was measured with a hand refractometer by using the stalks of five tagged healthy and smutted canes which were individually punched and a drop of the juice from each of them placed on the hand refractometer and covered. This was then held against the sun and viewed for the brix reading, which was recorded in percent. For the juice quality laboratory yield loss assessment, 2 healthy stalks were randomly cut from each plot and five smutted stalks were crushed using the Jeffco cutter to obtain at least 2 kg of crushed material for quality analysis. Six hundred grams of the crushed material were taken and pressed using the hydraulic hand press. The resulting juice was collected in 250 ml conical beakers. The first and last

expressed brix of the juice were recorded. The temperature and hydrometer readings of the juice were also recorded. The weight of the wet bagasse was taken and again recorded after oven drying to a constant weight. These readings were used in the calculation of % reducing sugars, % Pol., Corrected brix, % Purity and % Fibre. Results showed that *S. scitamineum* reduced field sucrose (% Brix), % Pol., % Purity and % Fibre but increased % reducing sugars of the two test infected cane varieties.

Keywords: Field sucrose; % pol, % purity; % fibre; juice quality loss; expressed brix.

1. INTRODUCTION

Sugar cane whip smut caused by the dimorphic basidiomycete fungus S. scitamineum Sydow [M. Piepenbr., M. Stoll & Oberw. 2002 (Syn: Ustilago scitamiea H. & P. Sydow)] incites considerable losses in sugar cane yield and quality in almost all cane growing countries of the world. In Florida, USA, Valladares and Gonzáles [1] and Rott and Comstock [2] investigated the quality and juice lowering effect of S. scitamineum and found that the disease caused a highly significant decrease in the height and diameter of the stalk, plant weight and juice in plant and ratoon crops. In Louisiana, Irvine [3] reported drop in sucrose; purity and viscosity of cane juice and 20% loss in sugar recovery of smut infected cane. Also, other workers reported reduced number of healthy stalks of sugar cane infected by smut in Louisiana [4].

Peros [5] reported sucrose inversion effect of S. scitamineum in France, Also Peros et al. [6] studied carbohydrate metabolism of S. scitamineum from Florida and indicated that glucose, fructose or sucrose could be used interchangeably as C sources and noted the inversion of sucrose. rapid This result demonstrates negative effect the of S. scitamineum on sucrose, the actual yield of The negative effect sugar cane. of S. scitamineum on sucrose concentration in sugar cane leaves had earlier been reported [7].

From the West Indies, report by Whittle [8] shows that *S. scitamineum* caused low yield of infected cane. Elsewhere, Gomez et al. [9] conducted studies on exudate effects of *S. scitamineum* on cells of sugar cane. They observed that addition of the exudate of the pathogen unto media containing suspensions of known sugar cane varieties increased cell size and caused cell death, particularly in the more susceptible variety.

Msechu and Keswani [10] conducted yield loss studies by smut in Tanzania and reported its effect to be poor juice quality. Glaz et al. [11] studied the effect of *S. scitamineum* on 4 cane varieties of variable resistances from plant to 2nd ratoon crop and reported reduced cane and sucrose yields. Indi et al. [12] and Sundar et al. [13] reported that whip smut of sugar cane caused by the dimorphic basidiomycete fungus *S. scitamineum* incited considerable losses in sugar cane yield and quality. Similarly, studies on quality parameters by Sandhu et al. [14] showed that field sucrose, brix and purity of sugar are adversely affected in smutted canes.

The effects of *S. scitamineum* are aggravated when susceptible varieties are cultivated. Barnabas et al. [15] reported significant tonnage loss and reduced juice quality as the result of *S. scitamineum* infected cane which they said could devastate large areas when cultivated with susceptible varieties. Kumar et al. [16] in their report asserted that besides heavy quantitative losses, *S. scitamineum* also reduced cane quality parameters like Brix, sucrose and purity of affected canes.

On quality parameters like reducing sugars in juice, apart from the effect of *S. scitamineum,* factors such as harvesting time, storage duration, pH value, presence of bacteria and temperature affect reducing sugars in juice [17,18].

In Nigeria S. scitamineum is reported to be the most important sugar cane disease [19,20]. The seeming yield or quality effect of S. scitamineum on cane in Nigeria is on the report of it being responsible for the discontinued cultivation of the commercial variety D141/46 by the then Nigerian Sugar Company (NISUCO), Bacita in 1978 [21]. There have been no detailed studies carried out to investigate the qualitative losses caused on sugar cane in terms of total solids and juice quality parameters like sucrose, temp. corrected brix, %Pol, %purity and %reducing sugars in Nigeria by S. scitamineum. In order to bridge this gap in knowledge and provide sugar cane growers with information on the qualitative losses incited by whip smut, the present study was, therefore, set up to investigate the effects of

Wada et al.; IJPSS, 10(4): 1-11, 2016; Article no.IJPSS.24566

varying concentrations of *S. scitamineum* on the yields of two cane varieties and to ascertain their losses in juice quality terms.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Preparation of Smut Teliospores

Fresh smut whips were collected from the field of a Bida local cane in the early hours of each morning for three days as described by Nasr [22]. These were dried under shade for one hour, scrubbed with hands covered with sterilized gloves to obtain smut teliospores, and then sieved using 53 μm mesh. The sieved teliospores were weighed out in three categories of 10 g, 20 g and 30 g and sealed in cellophane bags and stored in the refrigerator in the laboratory for inoculation process at a later date.

2.2 Preparation of Smut Teliospores Suspension

The 10, 20 and 30 g smut teliospores earlier weighed out and stored in cellophane bags were each emptied into separate 50l of sterile water in three different inoculating containers as described by Nasr [22]. These were vigorously stirred to obtain a homogenous suspension of the teliospores corresponing to 2, 4 and 6 g teliospores Γ^1 which gave three haemocytometer values of 2 x 10⁶, 4 x 10⁶ and 6 x 10⁶ teliospores/ml concentrations.

2.3 Preparation of Planting Setts and Inoculation

Cuttings of the two test cane varieties Co 957 and Bida local were made from 7 old canes. The stalks of the test canes were detrashed to expose the buds. The detrashed stalks were then cut into 3-budded setts and subjected to hot water treatment at 52°C for 30 min in separate batches until the whole planting setts were heat treated. One thousand, nine hundred and twenty (1920) 7-budded sett sod each of the two varieties were hot water treated in all. The heat treated cane setts were then separated into groups of 120 -3 budded setts each representing the four treatments. The cane cuttings were then immersed in each of the three teliospore concentrations for 1 h and incubated overnight in wet sterile gunny jute bags under the shade according to Nasr [22]. They were removed and planted in 5 m x 5 m plots in the field the

following day. There was uninoculated control for each of the two varieties.

2.4 Determination of Qualitative Losses

2.4.1 Brix or field sucrose production measurement

The field sucrose production (% brix) was measured with a hand refractometer as described by Meade and Chen [23]. The stalks of five tagged healthy and smutted canes were individually punched and a drop of the juice from each of them was placed on the hand refractometer and covered. The hand refractometer was held against the sun, viewed for the brix reading, which was recorded in percent.

2.4.2 Juice quality analysis

For the qualitative laboratory yield loss assessment, 2 healthy stalks were randomly cut from each plot and five smutted stalks were crushed using the Jeffco cutter to obtain at least 2 kg of crushed material for quality analysis. Six hundred grams of the crushed material was taken and pressed using the hydraulic hand press. The resulting juice was collected in 250 ml conical beakers. The first and last expressed brix of the juice were recorded. The temperature and hydrometer readings of the juice were also recorded.

The weight of the wet bagasse (that is the chaff left after juice had been pressed) was taken and again recorded after oven drying to a constant weight. These readings were used in the calculation of % reducing sugars, % Pol., Corrected brix, % Purity and % Fibre as follows:

2.4.3 Determination of reducing sugars

Five millilitres each of Fehlings solution A which contains 7% anhydrous copper II sulphate (CuSO₄. 5H₂O) and Fehlings solution B which contains 25% Potassium hydroxide (KOH) and 35% sodium potassium tartarate (alcoholic sodium/potassium tartarate) were pipetted into each clean conical flask depending on the number of samples following the methods of David [24], AOAC [25] and CAC [26]. To each of these were added 10ml of distilled water and 5ml of the juice. This mixture was heated to boiling on a hot plate for 2 min. Five drops of methyl blue indicator were added to it and titrated with the addition of fresh juice to the boiling mixture till a brick red colour resulted. The amount of juice added plus the quantity (ml) used for titration was the reducing sugar titre. This was checked from the tables [27], and the corresponding figure gave the % reducing sugars.

2.4.4 Determination of polarimeter reading for % pol calculation

One hundred millilitres of the juice was pipetted into conical flasks and 1 g of lead acetate was added to it, covered with a rubber bung and shaken vigorously. The mixture was filtered using Whatman paper No.1. The first 10 ml of the filtrate was discarded, while the next was used to read the Polarimeter (Pol R).

2.4.5 Determination of % pol

Percent Pol was calculated by checking up the temperature corrected brix against the hydrometer brix from tables [27].

2.4.6 Temperature corrected brix %

The resulting value was added or subtracted to, or from the hydrometer reading to obtain the corrected brix. To calculate % Pol, the temperature corrected brix was checked against the hydrometer brix from the tables [27] to give hydrometer reading. This was used to check the Pol factor; the resulting value gave the % Pol. In cases where the juice did not give the hydrometer reading and temperature, % Pol was calculated using the first expressed brix:

% Pol = brix x 2.5 x Pol R [27,28].

2.4.7 Determination of % purity

% Purity = % Pol x 100 First expressed brix

Wet weight of bagasse - Oven dried weight = Moisture.

= Sugar left in bagasse

% Fibre =

Dried weight of bagasse - sugar left in bagasse 600

Where 600 was the weight of crushed cane used for the quality analysis.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Qualitative Assessments

3.1.1 Effects of inoculum concentration and sugar cane variety on sucrose production, 1998 - 2000

Table 1 shows that there was significant (P = 0.01) difference between the % brix or sucrose of Bida local and Co 957 at 6, 9 and 12 MAP and MAR. In other words sucrose was significantly and consistently greater in Co 957 than in Bida local at all the three stages of the cane sampled from 1998 - 2000. Also Table 1 indicates that there was no significant difference between the sucrose of Co 957 and Bida local in 1998. On the other hand, there were significant differences of effects of variety and inoculum concentration on field sucrose in 1998. Significantly (P=0.01, 0.05) less sucrose was obtained at both 9 and 12 MAP in canes from treatments with high smut inoculum loads than the significantly higher sucrose obtained from the lower inoculum concentration and the check. The same table shows that smutted canes of Co 957 consistently contained significantly (P =0.01) higher sucrose than those of Bida local. Variation in inoculum concentration did not influence sucrose accumulation of ratoon crop at 6, 9 and 12 MAR in 1999 at the three sampling periods.

Table 2 shows that significantly (P=0.05) less sucrose was contained in smutted canes sampled from treatments with the highest inoculum load in 1998. Bida local, however, recorded significant (P=0.01) interactions of variety and inoculum concentration on field sucrose of smutted cane stalks that were not linear. The highest inoculum concentration treatments, recorded significantly the least amount of sucrose compared to the less inoculum concentration treatments, which recorded significantly higher amounts in 1999 and 2000 ratoon canes. These were similar to those recorded with the uninoculated control treatments in Co 957 and Bida local at 6 MAR in 2000.

Table 3 shows that Co 957 and Bida local recorded significant ((P=0.05, 0.01) differences on the % brix, % reducing sugar and % fibre out of the five parameters assessed with 1998 plant cane. Significantly more brix and fibre were obtained in Co 957 than in Bida local, but less reducing sugar was obtained from Co 957 than Bida local cane. On the other hand, effects of variety and inoculum concentration on

juice quality of canes harvested from sugar cane with varying levels of smut inoculum load and check did not differ significantly among themselves in 1998. There were, however, no significant interactions of variety and inoculum concentration on the five-juice quality parameters assessed at harvest.

All the five quality parameters assessed were significantly higher in Co 957 than in Bida local cane, except % reducing sugar which was significantly less in Co 957 than in Bida local in On the other hand, no significant 1999 differences in temperature corrected brix, % polarity and purity were observed among the different treatments as the result of varying inoculum concentration levels in 1999. Interaction of variety and inoculum concentration on the temperature corrected brix, % pol, purity; reducing sugar and fibre of cane juice were also not significant in 1999.

3.1.2 Effects of sugar cane variety and inoculum concentration on juice guality, 1999 - 2000

Table 4 shows that of the five parameters assessed, significant difference was observed on the brix and on % pol as well as on % fibre between the two varieties. In other words, % brix, polarity and fibre of Co 957 were significantly higher than those in Bida local. On the other hand, increases in inoculum concentration did not result in significant differences in the juice quality parameters of temperature-corrected brix, percent reducing sugar, and percent fibre.

Significant (P=0.05, 0.01) differences on percent polarity and % purity of the juice were observed with increase in inoculum concentration. Though non-significant differences were observed, temperature corrected brix, % reducing sugar and % fibre were least in cane harvested from treatments with the highest inoculum concentration than the higher values recorded in the other treatments which were again lower than the highest values in the check. Percent polarity and purity were significantly least in canes harvested from treatments with the highest inoculum concentration, while higher values in these parameters were recorded in the other treatments. There was, however, no significant interaction of variety and inoculum concentration observed on any of the five quality parameters assessed at harvest in 1999.

Table 4 also shows that there was significant (P=0.05, 0.01) difference between the juice quality parameters of brix, % purity and fibre of ratoon crops of Co 957 and those of Bida local at harvest in 2000. These quality parameters were significantly higher in Co 957 than in the juice of Bida local in 2000. Similarly, there were significant (P=0.05, 0.01) differences observed on % pol and % purity of the juice assessed at harvest with increase in inoculum concentrations in 2000. That is to say, significantly, the least pol and purity were recorded with the 6 x 10^6 teliospores/ml inoculum concentration treatments which were significantly lower than those recorded with the other treatments. The juice quality parameters recorded from the 2 x 10^6 and 4×10^6 teliospores/ml inoculum concentration did not differ significantly from each other. However, no significant interaction of variety and inoculum concentration was observed on any of the juice quality parameters assessed at harvest in 2000.

The qualitative assessment of loss caused to sugar cane by S. scitamineum was investigated on field sucrose (% brix) of healthy and smutted canes and on juice quality from 1998 - 2000. Generally, effects of variety and inoculum concentration on juice quality parameters were significant with increase in inoculum concentration. However, significantly lower sucrose accumulated in smutted canes from the high inoculum concentration treatments compared to higher sucrose content in lower inoculum concentration treatments in the two cane cycles from 1998 - 2000. All the control treatments did not record smutted stalks and consequently the sucrose values for these treatments were zero.

The observed significant reduction in the brix of smutted Co 957 and Bida local by 4-7 units in the present study conforms to the findings by several workers (8; 11; 16, 29 and 30). Smut reduced the field sucrose of affected stalks by at least half, compared to those of healthy stalks in the present study.

The quality parameter of the two test varieties was generally significantly different. On the other hand, effects of inoculum concentration and variety generally did not significantly affect the quality parameters of the assessed juice with increase in inoculum concentration. Interactions of variety and inoculum concentration were also generally not significant.

Treatment	1998 plant crop			1999 ratoon crop			1999 plant crop		2000 ratoon crop			
	6	9	12 (Harvest)	6	9	12 (Harvest)	6	9	12 (Harvest)	6	9	12 (Harvest)
Variety (V)						•						
Co 957	0.0	10.8a	10.2a	14.2a	13.7b	13.7a	9.8a	13.1a	15.6a	14.4a	13.6a	16.5a
Bida local	0.0	8.1a	8.4a	4.0b	6.2b	6.1b	5.5a	7.8b	8.0b	9.0a	10.9a	10.9b
Mean	0.0	9.5	9.3	9.1	10.0	9.9	7.7	11.5	11.8	11.7	12.3	13.7
SE±	0.0	1.1	1.3	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.3	0.5	0.3	1.7	0.5
	NS	NS	NS	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	NS	**
Inoculum												
concentration (I)												
(teliospores/ml)												
0.0	0.0	0.0b	0.0c	8.9a	10.4a	10.1a	8.5a	12.0a	12.6a	12.2a	13.2a	14.4a
2 x 10 ⁶	0.0	13.4a	13.6.a	9.3a	10.1a	9.7b	7.2a	11.6a	11.9a	12.0a	12.3a	14.0a
4 x 10 ⁶	0.0	12.8a	12.4a	9.3a	9.8a	9.9b	7.8a	11.1a	11.5a	12.2a	11.9a	14.0a
6 x 10 ⁶	0.0	11.7a	11.2b	9.0a	9.5a	9.7b	7.1a	11.0	11.5a	10.6b	11.7a	12.6a
Mean	0.0	9.3	9.3	9.2	10.0	9.9	7.7	11.4	11.8	11.8	12.3	13.8
SE±	0.0	1.3	1.3	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.7	0.8	0.5	1.1	1.1
	NS	**	*	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	*	NS	NS
Interaction												
V*I	NS	NS	*	NS	NS	*	NS	NS	NS	*	NS	NS

Table 1. Effects of variety and inoculum concentration on field sucrose (% brix) of smutted canes 1998, 1999 and 2000

Means followed by similar letter(s) are not significantly different at P=0.01, P=0.05 according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT)

NS = Not significant

Table 2. Interaction of variety and inoculum concentration on field sucrose (% Brix) of smutted canes, 1998, 1999 and 2000

Treatment	199	8 plant crop variety	1999	ratoon crop variety	2000 ratoon crop vareity		
(teliospores/ml)	Co 957	Bida local	Co 957	Bida local	Co 957	Bida local	
0.0	0.0d	0.0d	13.81b	6.1e	14.1c	9.9d	
2 x 10 ⁶	11.2b	11.3b	13.5b	6.8d	14.8a	9.5e	
4 x 10 ⁶	17.3a	9.9c	14.6a	6.2e	14.6a	9.8d	
6 x 10 ⁶	12.3b	12.5b	13.1c	5.3f	14.3b	6.9f	
SE±	1.30		0.40		0.50		

Means followed by similar letters(s) are not significantly different at P=0.01, P=0.05 according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT)

Treatment	Quality parameters										
	1998 plant						1999 ratoon crop				
	Tem. corr. brix (%)	% Pol	% purity	% reducing sugar	% fibre	Tem. corr. brix (%)	% pol	% purity	% reducing sugar	% fibre	
Variety (V)											
Co 957	20.6a	15.7a	80.5a	1.2a	16.8a	22.0a	18.0a	82.3a	0.3a	18.0a	
Bida local	15.0b	11.1a	73.7a	2.7a	10.0a	15.0b	10.3b	71.9b	0.6a	10.0b	
Mean	17.8	18.4	77.1	2.0	13.4	18.5	14.2	77.1	0.5	9.0	
SE±	0.6	1.9	2.8	0.2	0.5	0.3	0.8	2.4	0.06	0.5	
	*	NS	NS	**	**	**	**	**	*	**	
Inoculum concentration (I) (teliospores/ml)											
0.0	18.3a	14.3a	77.9a	2.3a	14.6a	19.0a	15.5a	77.8a	0.5a	14.6a	
2 x 10 ⁶	17.9a	13.5a	77.7a	2.0a	13.6a	17.8a	14.3a	77.7a	0.4a	14.3a	
4 x 10 ⁶	17.3a	13.3a	77.0a	1.9a	13.2a	17.7a	13.9a	76.7a	0.4a	13.6a	
6×10^{6}	17.1a	12.4a	75.9a	1.8a	12.4a	17.6a	12.9a	76.2a	0.4a	13.6a	
Mean	17.5	13.4	77.1	1.6a	13.5	18.0	14.2	77.1	0.4	14.0	
SE+	1 1	13	29	0.6	16	0.6	0.9	21	0.06	0.5	
	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	
Interaction											
V*I	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	

Table 3. Effects of variety and inoculum concentration on juice quality, 1998 and 1999

Means followed by similar letters(s) are not significantly different at P=0.01, P=0.05 according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) NS = Not significant.

Treatment	Juice quality parameters										
		1999 plant	crop								
	Tem. corr. brix (%)	% pol	% purity	% reducing sugar	% fibre	Tem. corr. brix (%)	% pol	% purity	% reducing sugar	% Fibre	
Variety (V)											
Co 957	22.1a	17.3a	78.6a	0.5a	17.6a	21.5a	17.7a	81.7a	0.6b	18.6a	
Bida local	16.9b	13.0b	76.4a	0.6a	9.5b	14.7b	11.0b	75.1b	1.0a	10.0b	
Mean	19.5	15.1	77.5	0.6	13.6	18.1	14.4	78.4	0.8	14.1	
SE±	1.1	0.7	1.8	0.2	0.2	0.6	0.4	1.3	0.2	0.7	
	**	**	NS	NS	**	**	**	**	NS	*	
Inoculum											
concentration (I)											
(teliospores/ml)											
0.0	20.0a	16.6a	82.8a	0.6a	13.8a	18.7a	15.1a	80.2a	0.8a	14.4a	
2 x 10 ⁶	19.9a	15.7b	79.1ab	0.5a	13.6a	18.2a	14.9a	80.0a	0.8a	14.2a	
4 x 10 ⁶	19.1a	14.6c	76.2b	0.7a	13.2a	17.9a	14.4c	79.8a	0.7a	13.9a	
6 x 10 ⁶	18.9a	13.6a	71.9c	0.5a	13.8a	17.6a	13.1b	73.6	0.7a	13.7a	
Mean	20.0	15.1	77.5	1.1	13.6	18.1	14.4	78.4	0.8	14.1	
SE±	1.0	1.0	3.0	0.1	0.4	0.5	0.3	1.9	0.2	0.4	
	NS	*	**	NS	NS	NS	*	**	NS	NS	
Interaction											
V*I	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	

Table 4. Effects of variety and inoculum concentration on juice quality, 1999 and 2000

Means followed by similar letters(s) are not significantly different at P=0.01, P=0.05 according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) NS = Not significant

Wada et al.; IJPSS, 10(4): 1-11, 2016; Article no.IJPSS.24566

Generally, increased disease level resulted in decreased quality parameters of % brix; % pol, % purity and % reducing sugar and increase in % fibre in the present study. Other workers also observed similar reduction in the juice quality of infected cane [1,4,5,10,11,16,29-32,34]. On the contrary, report by Martinez et al. [33] indicated variation in some juice quality parameters among three sugar cane varieties studied. They showed that infection of cane with whip smut resulted in decrease in the content of reducing sugars of juices, most markedly for Mayari plants, and increase in the value of % pol. They also observed that the value of brix remained unchanged for Jaromi and Barbados varieties following infection but increased for Mayari plants.

The result of the present study, therefore, agrees with the majority observations by these workers and differs from the findings by Martinez et al. [33] in some quality parameters. The high percent reducing sugar figures indicate that smut must have reduced the sucrose in the affected canes of Co 957 and Bida local.

4. CONCLUSION

The present studies on field sucrose (% Brix), and quality parameters, namely Tem. Corrected brix, % Pol., % Purity and % Fibre of *S. scitamineum* infected Co 957 and Bida local showed increased reduction in their values. The increased reducing sugars recorded in this report could not be due to the effect of *S. scitamineum* alone but due to storage duration or delayed analysis. The test canes were harvested and crushed the same day, however, due to the large number of samples, the duration of the analysis increased thus causing the sharp increase in reducing sugars in addition to the effect of *S. scitamineum*.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Valladares AF, Gonzáles HR. The quality and yield lowering effect of the sugar cane smut *Ustilago scitaminea*. Bulletin INICA. 1986;2:60-68. In RPP 69(2):162-263.

- Rott. P, Comstock JC. Sugar cane smut disease. UF IFAS extension, University of Florida, SS-AGR-208; 2002. Available:<u>http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu</u>
- 3. Irvine JE. Strategies for smut control. Sugar Cane Path. Newsl. 1982;28:1-2.
- Hoy JW, Hollier CA, Fontenot DB, Grelen LB. Incidence of sugar cane smut in Louisiana and its effects on yield. Plant Disease. 1986;70(59-60.
- 5. Peros JP. Effects of *Ustilago scitaminea* Syd. on the development, sugar content and invertase activity of sugar cane. Agronomie Tropicale. 1984;39:81-88.
- Peros JP, Piombo G, Dumas JC. Carbohydrate metabolism of the sugar cane smut fungus Ustilago scitaminea. Current Microbiology. 1986;13(6):303-306. In: RPP 66(7):120.
- Taneja AD, Goyal SP, Satyavir BM, Beniwal MS. Physiological studies in smut infected sugar cane variety Co1158. Agric. Science Digest India. 1987;7(4):225-228. In: RPP 68(8): 287.
- Whittle AM. Yield loss in sugar cane due to culmicolous smut infection. Trop. Agric. (Trinidad). 1982;59(3):239-242.
- Gomez KR, Agramonte PD, Perez PJ, Orellana PP. A study of the effects of exudates of *Ustilago scitaminea*. Centro Agricola. 1989;16(4):32-39. In: RPP 71(10):783.
- 10. Msechu ZE, Keswani CL. Effect of sugar-cane smut on yield and yield components of sugar cane varieties in Tanzania. Trop. Agric. (Trinidad). 1982; 59(3):243-247.
- Glaz B, Ulloa MF, Parroda R. Yield effects of sugar cane smut infection in Florida. Journal of American Society of Sugar cane Technologists. 1989;9:71-80. In: RPP 70(8):638-639.
- Indi DV, Nalawade SV, Pawar SN. Stable sources of whip – smut resistancein sugar cane. Proceedings of the Intl. Symposium on New Paradigms in Sugar cane Research, Sugar cane Breeding Institute, Coimbatore, India; 2012.
- Sundar AR, Barnabas EL, Malathi P, Viswanathan R. A mini-review on smut disease of sugar cane caused by *Sporisorium scitamieum*, Botany, Dr John Mworia (Ed.); 2012.
 In Took ISBN:078-052-51-0255-4

In Tech ISBN:978-953-51-0355-4

Available:<u>http://www.intechopen.com/boos/</u> botany

- 14. Sandhu S, Uppal S, Singh P. Fibre estimation and its relationship with cane vield and quality components in sugar cane to explore energy canes. Proceedings of the Intl. Symposium on New Paradigmsin Sugar cane Research. Sugar cane Breeding Institute, Coimbatore, India. 2012;332-334.
- Barnabas EL, Smisha R, Sundar AR, Malanthi P, Viswanathan R. Genetic variability among Indian Isolates of *Sporisorium scitamineum*- the sugar cane smut fungi. Proceedings of the Intl. Symposium on New Paradigms in Sugar cane Research, Sugar cane Breeding Institute, Coimbatore, India. 2012;254-257.
- Kumar S, Kumar D, Sinha RN. Change in yield attributes, juice quality and mineral nutrients in cane juice due to smut infection. Indian Sugar. 1989;39(4):233-237.
- Tan FRZ, Yang S, Li lan TJ, Yu FL, Tang HQ. Factors affecting measurement of reducing sugars in sugar cane juice. Balancing sugar and energy production in developing countries. Sustainable Technologies and Marketing Strategies, New Delhi, India. 2011;406-408.
- Muangmontri R, Sawatchupong M. Pliansinchai U. Polysaccharide evaluation in three sugar cane varieties and effect of harvesting methods on cane juice quality. Souvenir de Presentation. International Conference IS-2014, Green Technologies for Sustainable Growth of Sugar & Integrated Industries in Developing Countries. Nanning P. R. China; 2014.
- Obakin FO. Sugar cane improvement at National Cereals Research Institute. In: Proceeding of an International Symposium on Sugar cane in Nigeria NCRI, Moor Plantation, Ibadan, Nigeri. 1978;12-16.
- Wada AC. Some important diseases and pests of sugar cane in Nigeria and their control. Outlook on Agriculture. 1997; 26(2):101-105.
- Ogunwolu EO. Comparative productivity of some exotic sugar cane varieties in the Southern Guinea Savannah of Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Agron. 1986;I(34):110
- 22. Nasr IA. Standardization of inoculation techniques for sugar cane smut disease.

Sugar cane Pathol. Newsletter. 1977;18:2-5.

- Meade GP, Chen JCP. Total solids by refractometer. In: Cane Sugar Handbook. 10th edition. 1977;581-590.
- 24. David P. Chemical analysis of food. 7th Edition, Edinburgh. London and N.Y; 1976.
- 25. AOAC. Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC). Washington D.C. USA; 1984.
- CAC. Codex Alimentarious Commission (CAC). Codex standard for sugars. Supplement 2 to Codex Alimentarious. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome and World Health Organization. 1989;3.
- Payne JH. Extraction and cane calculations. In: Payne JH. (ed). Sugar cane factory analytical control. The official methods of the Hawaiian Sugar Technologists, Pub. By Elsevier Publishing Company, Amsterdam; 1968;103-107.
- Barnes AC. Evaluation of the cane. In: Barnes AC. (ed). The sugar cane. Pub. by Leonard Hill Books Aylebury, Bucks. 1974;488-513.
- 29. Padmanaban R, Alexander KC. Shanmugan N. Some metabolic changes Induced in sugar cane by Ustilago scitaminea. Indian Phytopathology. 1988a; 41(2): 229-232. In: RPP 70(7):555.
- Padmanaban R, Alexander KC, Shanmugan N. Effect of smut on growth and yield parameters of sugar cane. Indian Phytopathology. 1988b;41(3):367-369.
 In: RPP 70(9):754.
- de Ramallo NV. Sugar cane smut in Argentina. In: 1st Inter-American Sugar cane Seminar: Cane Diseases. Miami Fla. USA. 1980;20-23.

Singh SN. Effect of set treatment with fungicides and heat therapy on whip smut and yield of sugar cane. Cooperative Sugar . 1998;29 (11):779-780.

32. Pushpavalli R, Anilthasan T, Andaswamy KG, Praash M. Effect of organic K fertilizer (ash) on growth, yield and juice quality of sugar cane in comparison with chemical K fertilizer. Souvenir de presentation. International Conference IS-2014 Green Technologies for Sustainable Growth of Sugar & Integrated Industries in Developing Countries. Nanning P. R. China. 2014;68.

33. Martinez J, Medina I, Naranjo S, Rodriguez CW, Armas R. de, Pinon D, Vicente C, Legaz ME. Changes of some chemical parameters involved in sucrose recovery from sugar cane juices, related to the susceptibility or resistance of sugar

cane plantsto smut (*Ustilago scitaminea*). Sugar cane International; 2000.

 Tai PYP, Powell J, Perdomo R, Eiland BR. Changes in sucrose and fibre contents during sugarcane maturation. Sugar Y Azucar; 1996.

© 2016 Wada et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/14099