

Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences 10(4): XX-XX, 2016; Article no.JAMPS.29783 ISSN: 2394-1111



SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org

# Education Level is Associated with Specific N200 and P300 Profiles Reflecting Higher Cognitive Functioning

Rumaisa Abu Hasan<sup>1</sup>, Faruque Reza<sup>1</sup> and Tahamina Begum<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Biosciences and Medical Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 Johor Bahru, Johor, Malaysia. <sup>2</sup>Department of Neurosciences, School of Medical Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 16150 Kubang

Kerian, Kota Bharu, Kelantan, Malaysia.

# Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Authors FR and TB designed the study, wrote the protocol, guided the data collection and performed the statistical analysis. Authors RAH and TB performed the data acquisition and literature review. Author RAH wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors TB and FR reviewed the final manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

| 83  |
|-----|
| s): |
| (1) |
| (2) |
| rs: |
| (1) |
| (2) |
| (3) |
| ry: |
|     |

Received 29<sup>th</sup> September 2016 Accepted 7<sup>th</sup> November 2016 Published 19<sup>th</sup> November 2016

Original Research Article

# ABSTRACT

**Background:** While different colors are commonly used during neuropsychological assessments, there is a general lack of information about the influence of education on color processing, which could affect the results of neuropsychological testing. Higher education is directly related to higher cognitive functions. Therefore, we investigated educational influences on color processing in different tasks using reaction times (RTs) in an event-related potential (ERP) study. **Matheds:** A 128 sensor net was used for the ERP study with participants from low (G1) modium.

**Methods:** A 128-sensor net was used for the ERP study with participants from low (G1), medium (G2) and high (G3) educational groups (n=17 per group). Participants were asked by pressing button to indicate whether they 'liked' or 'disliked' colors displayed to them for consideration in the

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: tahaminabegum70@hotmail.com, tahamina676@gmail.com;

RT analysis. A 10-20 system (19 electrode channels) was used to analyze the amplitudes and latencies of the N200 and P300 ERP components.

**Results:** The mean differences for the like and dislike choices were calculated in terms of the amplitudes and latencies of the both components. RTs were significantly shortest in G3, then G2, and G1 reflected significantly longer RTs. Seven (out of 19) electrode locations clearly expressed N200 and P300 components. The G3 evoked the highest amplitudes (significant at T6) of both components at most of the electrode locations. The next highest amplitudes were in G2 and then G1. There was a trend toward the shortest latencies of both components being represented in G3, with G1 holding the longest latencies at most electrode sites, but this did not quite reach significance.

**Conclusion:** Faster RTs, higher amplitudes and shorter latencies of the N200 and P300 ERP components in G3 suggest that higher education improves attention, enables faster decision-making and facilitates cognitive function that is important for improved quality of life.

Keywords: Color; stimulus; N200; P300; education; cognitive function.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

To perceive color from our surroundings is an innate ability that becomes perfected with daily experiences. The human brain needs a complex visual network to achieve this color processing [1.2.3]. While it is true that color perception varies across different cultures [4,5], the use of colors is spreading in various fields. In medicine, different types of colors have been used to assess cognitive function for diagnostic purposes [6,7] that are important for patients' care plans. There are different types of neuropsychology tests related to colors. The Weigl Color-Form Sorting Test, Wisconsin Card Sorting Test, and Stroop Test are just a few examples of tests that frequently used for neuropsychology are assessments with different populations [8,9,10]. The Ishihara chart can detect impairment of color perception [11,12]. Color has also been used therapeutically in cancer patients [13,14]. However, patients come from different educational backgrounds, and the results of neuropsychology tests might vary depending on background educational levels. This could have implications for management strategies. It is therefore important to investigate the influence of education on color processing.

Education develops our skills, knowledge, problem-solving abilities, and other cognitive functions [15,16] by improving the brain's ability to adapt to new stimuli and challenges [17,18]. Higher education is associated with higher cognitive functioning [19], but this can decline in elderly populations [20]. Influence of education on theta [21] and delta [22] rhythms, as well as its influence on processing of different shapes and their arrangements [19,23], has been investigated previously. However, no study has investigated the influence of education on perception of different colors. Therefore, here we investigated the influence of education on color processing using reaction time (RT) analysis and ERP tools.

RT measures the time interval between stimulus presentation and the subject's response [24]. Researchers have studied three types of RTs. Simple RT can be measured with one stimulus and one response; recognition RT presents a stimulus, but requires no motor response; and choice RT has multiple stimuli with multiple responses [25,26]. Jain et al. [27] showed that RT was faster in males and those who exercised regularly, compared with females and those with a more sedentary lifestyle. It is possible that faster RTs are underpinned by a faster nervous system processing time, corresponding to a faster muscular movement [28], which could be interpreted as meaning that a person with faster RT has higher cognitive function [29]. For these reasons, we used RT as a marker of cognitive processing speed in the present study.

In addition to RT, we also measured ERP components to assess cognitive function. Electroencephalography (EEG) is non-invasive, painless, and relatively inexpensive compared with other neuroimaging techniques [30,31]. In contrast to the excellent anatomical resolution of brain imaging techniques (3-4 mm for fMRI) that allow the visualization of the brain networks involved in a certain task, electrophysiological tools such as EEG, give fine temporal resolution (in the order of milliseconds), allowing us to EŔP observe the various components representing the cognitive stages used during performance of a task. Thus, decreased amplitude and/or delayed latency compared with

normal values can be interpreted as representative of a cognitive deficit. Such findings should be interpreted by taking into account the cerebral area from which the ERP is recorded [32]. All areas of cognition, such as perception, attention, selective attention, attention switching, resistance to distractive interference and memory, executive function linked to problem-solving, planning, and decision-making, are associated with ERP profiles. Cognitive ERPs allow for the assessment of the different stages used in the stream during information processing performance of a task. Every cognitive function is associated with various cognitive stages. Each of these stages is implemented within separate neural processes to achieve normal function [33]. Indirectly, non-invasive ERP components can therefore convey information pertaining to the functional connections between brain areas, and represents a tool with which to investigate cortical activity [34].

The ERP signal can directly measure the neural activity related to a specific event [35,36]. The recorded signal is mainly influenced by the electrical field of the head scalp, which comes from the current of the extracellular regions [37,38]. In response to visual stimuli, six ERP components have been identified: C1. P1. N1. P2, N2 and P3 [39]. Higher amplitudes of the N1 (N170) reflected increased attention in a study of different shapes and their organizations [19], and in a separate study of other race faces [40]. The N200 ERP component is a negative-going waveform that is evoked at 200-350 ms poststimulus, and reflects executive cognitive function [41]. The N200 has subcomponents of N2a, N2b, N2pc that mainly reflect activity in occipital-temporal regions. Higher N200 amplitudes are thought to reflect increased intention [42]. The P300 ERP component is a positive deflection, usually ranges from 250 ms to 900 ms, is typically evoked between 300-400 ms [43], and reflects activity at Cz and Pz areas [44]. The P300 has been identified as a marker for response inhibition [45], which involves the activation of the executive system of the frontal lobes [46]. The neural basis for this executive system is believed to be a distributed circuitry comprising prefrontal areas and anterior cingulate gyrus [47], the orbitofrontal cortex [48], the ventral frontal regions [49], and the parietal, dorsal, and ventral prefrontal regions [50]. Elevated amplitudes and shorter latencies of P300 components are related to higher attention [51] with increased consciousness [52]. P300 deficits have been reported in many disorders, but there is also an abundance of literature implicating other ERP components, such as mismatch negativity, sensory P50, and N400 (linked to language and semantic processes) [53,54]. Nevertheless, because both the N200 and the P300 ERP components are related to cognitive functions [23], we analyzed these two components to assess visual cognitive function. There is currently a lack of information regarding how education influences processing of different color stimuli in the human brain on an electrophysiological level. The main aim of this study was therefore to investigate the impact of education level on color processing (as an index of cognitive processing) using RT and ERP.

# 2. METHODS

# 2.1 Ethical Permission

Approval for this study was granted by the ethical committee of Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM) (USMKK/PPP/JEPeM (232.3(8)).

# 2.2 Study Population

The required sample size was calculated by a statistician using Power and Sample size (PS) software. Participants were recruited via e-mail advertisements, personal communications, and/or internet advertisements. Subjects were stratified according to their highest educational qualification, and accordingly grouped as low (G1, mean age±SD; 30.91±5.32 years, 8M and 9F), medium (G2, 29.89±8.54 years, 10M and 7F) or high (G3, 27.01±2.97 years, 8M and 9F) The educational groups were education. to the established according Malaysian educational system. The low educational group (mean education±SD; 8.93±2.63 years) had completed SPM (Sijil Pelajaran Malaysia), the medium educational group (12.79±0.80 years) had completed STP (Sijil Tinggi Pelajaran Malaysia) and the high educational group (15.88±1.36 years) had completed higher educational qualifications such as a diploma, Degree, Master's, or PhD.

#### 2.3 ERP Net/Cap

A 128-electrode sensor net was used for data acquisition. This net was connected to a headbox or amplifier, and the net was elastic to stabilize the electrodes on the head. Each Ag/AgCl electrode was covered by small plastic pedestal with a sponge contained within. Prior to each

participant using the net, we soaked it in an electrolytic mixture of KCI solution and baby shampoo. Spontaneous electrical activity can sometimes pass through the wet sponge of the net to the scalp, and we measured this activity in terms of ERP amplitudes and latencies on another computer located to another room.

#### 2.4 RT and ERP Stimuli and Recording

RT and ERP recordings were performed in the MEG/ERP laboratory at Hospital Universiti Sains Malaysia (HUSM). The ERP recordings were made in a dimly lit, electrically shielded and sound-treated room. Subjects were comfortably seated 1 m in front of a 22" LCD computer. Different color stimuli were presented using Eprime software (v 2.0 software (Psychology Software Tools, Inc., Sharpsburg, Pennsylvania, USA). ERP recordings were made with a 128channel sensor net. 20 different colors were used as stimuli (13 × 17 cm): they were presented randomly on a white background for 1.4 s, with a 1.0 s inter-stimulus interval (ISI). Fig. 1 depicts the experimental paradigm used. Subjects were instructed to push 'button 1' for like and 'button 2' for dislike. All data were recorded on Net-Station software 5.2 (Electrical Geodesics, Inc., Eugene, OR, USA). Amplitudes and latencies of the N200 and the P300 ERP components were analyzed. For our purposes, we considered the observed RTs to represent "decision time". The experimental task used here differs from standard paradigms, in that it does not require the subject to make a correct/incorrect response.

#### 2.5 Data Analysis

The band pass filter used was 0.3-30 Hz, with a stimulus rate of 0.5 Hz. Electrode impedance was within 0-50 KΩ. Using Net-Station software, we collected the mean differences between 'liked' and 'disliked' stimuli for the amplitudes and the latencies of the N200 and the P300 ERP components. Values from 19 channels were analyzed (FP1, FP2, F3, F4, F7, F8, Fz, C3, C4, Cz, P3, P4, Pz, T3, T4, T5, T6, O1, and O2 electrodes). Values falling within the -100-800 ms ranges were incorporated in the final analyses; the baseline was corrected to 100 ms stimulus onset. Eye blinks, before eye movements and movement artifacts were removed using the artifact detection tool in Net-Station software. Results were analyzed in Statistical Package for the Social Sciences 22 (SPSS22) software, using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) analysis. The significance level was set as p≤0.05.

# 3. RESULTS

The grand average waveforms of the amplitudes and the latencies of the N200 and the P300 ERP components are shown in Fig. 2a (G1), Fig. 2b (G2) and Fig. 2c (G3). Mean differences for the



Fig. 1. The experimental paradigm incorporating 20 randomized different color stimuli, presented for 1400 ms with a 1000 ms inter-stimulus interval

'like' and 'dislike' color stimuli were collected for the amplitudes and the latencies of the N200 and the P300 ERP components (Tables 1 and 2).

#### 3.1 Reaction Time (RT) Analysis

Our experimental paradigm did not allow for the assessment of correct vs incorrect responses; instead, participants chose whether they liked or disliked the different colors that they were presented with. Therefore, we were only able to analyze reaction times (RTs) and not task performance. RTs were compared across the three groups. A one-way ANOVA showed a main effect of group, F(2, 48) = 8.449, p = 0.001. Between-group contrasts revealed that RTs in higher education (mean±SD. the (G3) 763.8±239.53 ms) group were significantly lower than those in the medium (G2) (p = 0.03),  $907\pm242.50$  ms) and low (G1) (p = 0.0002, 1145.52±416.59 ms) education groups (Fig. 3). G2 showed reduced RTs compared with G1 (p =0.03).

#### 3.2 The N200 Component

N200 amplitudes were clearly seen at seven electrode sites: T4, T5, T6, P3, P4, O1 and O2. Higher amplitudes were evoked in G3 compared with the G2 and the G1 groups across all channels, but these were only significantly different at the T6 site, F(2,48) = 4.497, p=0.036). Conversely, G2 had higher N200 amplitudes at 6 of the 7 sites (T4, T6, P3, P4, O1 and O2) compared with G1 (Table 1). In terms of the N200 latencies, G3 possessed the shortest latencies of the three groups at most of the locations (5 out of 7 locations: T4, T6, P4, O1 and O2). The next shortest latencies were in G2 (5 out of 7 locations: T4, T5, T6, P4 and O2; see Table 1).

# 3.3 The P300 Component

The P300 results were consistent with those observed for the N200 component. Again, G3 expressed the highest P300 amplitudes at most of the sites (6 out of 7 locations: T4, T5, T6, P4, O1 and O2) compared with the G2 and G1 groups (Table 2). G2 had the next highest amplitudes at most of the locations (5 out of 7: T4, T6, P4 and O2) compared with G1. A significant group effect was observed at T6, F(2,48) = 3.186, p = 0.047 (Table 2). There was no consistent relationship between group membership and latencies of the P300 component (Table 2).

# 4. DISCUSSION

In this study, we investigated the influence of education on color processing (indexed by RTs and ERPs) in low (G1), medium (G2) and high (G3) educational groups. G3 displayed significantly shorter (i.e. faster) RTs than the other groups, and G2 had faster RTs than



Fig. 2a. Grand average waveforms of the N200 and P300 ERP components at 19 electrode positions in the low education group (G1). Blue represents 'like' and red represents 'dislike' in relation to the colors displayed

Hasan et al.; JAMPS, 10(4): xxx-xxx, 2016; Article no.JAMPS.29783



Fig. 2b. Grand average waveforms of the N200 and P300 ERP components at 19 electrode positions in the medium education group (G2). Blue represents 'like' and red represents 'dislike' in relation to the colors displayed



Fig. 2c. Grand average waveforms of the N200 and P300 ERP components at 19 electrode positions in the high education group (G3). Blue represents 'like' and red represents 'dislike' in relation to the colors displayed

G1.The highest amplitudes and shortest latencies of the N200 and P300 ERP components were evoked mostly in the G3 and G2 groups. The T6 area showed a significant group effect for amplitudes of

both the N200 and the P300 components (Tables 1 and 2). Subjects in G1 (low education level) displayed the shortest amplitudes and the longest latencies for both components across most of the sites.

Participants in G3 had significantly faster RTs than those in G2 and G1. G2 participants had longer RTs than those in G3, and G1 had the longest RTs of all groups. There was a significant main effect of group on RT, as depicted in Fig. 3. Faster RTs are directly associated with faster decision-making [55]. As a corollary of this, we suggest that higher education is associated with faster decision-making.

Being an early ERP component, the N200 reveals basic information about 'bottom-up'

sensory processing of stimuli. The P300, however, reflects 'top-down' perceptual and cognitive processing of stimuli [56]. Higher N200 amplitudes are associated with higher attention [57], and P300 amplitudes are directly proportional to successful perceptual and cognitive processing [58]. Kok et al. (2004) found that higher amplitudes of the P300 component reflect higher levels of cognitive function, including, but not limited to orientation of attention, response modulation, and response resolution [59]. Increased attention results in

| Table 1. The amplitudes and the latencies of the N200 ERP component across low (G1),      |
|---|
| medium (G2) and high (G3) education groups. Values represent mean differences in terms of |
| 'likes' and 'dislikes' for the colors presented   |

| Sites   | Low education/G1 | Medium education/G2   | High             | F (df)       | P      | Significance |  |  |  |  |
|---|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------|--------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
|   | (mean ± SD)      | (mean ± SD)           | education/ G3    |              |        | -            |  |  |  |  |
|   |                  |                       | (mean ± SD)      |              |        |              |  |  |  |  |
| N200 ERP component amplitudes (in μV) (mean±SD) |                  |                       |                  |              |        |              |  |  |  |  |
| Fz  | 4.74±3.39        | 2.83±3.66             | 3.91±3.99        | 1.371(2, 48) | 0.26 1 | NS           |  |  |  |  |
| Cz  | 2.76±2.25        | 3.38±3.53             | 3.13±2.31        | 0.96(2, 48)  | 0.745  | NS           |  |  |  |  |
| Pz  | 4.40±3.98        | 3.50±3.49             | 2.38±6.54        | 1.022(2, 48) | 0.365  | NS           |  |  |  |  |
| Fp1   | 6.20±5.74        | 4.67±4.77             | 5.67±6.18        | 0.390(2, 48) | 0.679  | NS           |  |  |  |  |
| Fp2   | 4.80±5.16        | 4.13±4.68             | 6.22±6.27        | 0.969(2, 48) | 0.384  | NS           |  |  |  |  |
| F3  | 4.41±3.56        | 2.00±3.88             | 2.98±4.24        | 1.989(2, 48) | 0.144  | NS           |  |  |  |  |
| F4  | 5.74±3.35        | 3.65±3.80             | 4.14±3.45        | 2.124(2, 48) | 0.127  | NS           |  |  |  |  |
| F7  | 5.11±4.10        | 2.77±3.97             | 1.95±3.50        | 4.566(2, 48) | 0.014  | S            |  |  |  |  |
| F8  | 4.65±3.64        | 4.87±4.55             | 4.31±5.06        | 0.098(2, 48) | 0.907  | NS           |  |  |  |  |
| C3  | 3.17±2.61        | 4.21±4.02             | 2.62±4.18        | 1.119(2, 48) | 0.332  | NS           |  |  |  |  |
| C4  | 3.58±3.09        | 4.15±3.41             | 3.60±2.75        | 0.242(2, 48) | 0.786  | NS           |  |  |  |  |
| Т3  | 4.12±2.73        | 2.21±3.73             | 2.86±3.94        | 1.594(2, 48) | 0.210  | NS           |  |  |  |  |
| T4  | 2.66±2.27        | 3.10±3.36             | 3.64±3.79        | 0.583(2, 48) | 0.561  | NS           |  |  |  |  |
| T5  | 2.45±2.47        | 2.12±2.70             | 3.08±4.13        | 0.546(2, 48) | 0.582  | NS           |  |  |  |  |
| T6  | 1.16±2.75        | 3.74±2.71             | 4.90±7.12        | 3.497(2, 48) | 0.036  | S            |  |  |  |  |
| P3  | 2.85±3.27        | 3.07±2.97             | 2.95±3.56        | 0.024(2, 48) | 0.976  | NS           |  |  |  |  |
| P4  | 2.43±2.96        | 3.86±3.41             | 3.86±4.07        | 1.218(2, 48) | 0.302  | NS           |  |  |  |  |
| 01  | 3.74±2.42        | 3.24±3.72             | 4.52±3.56        | 0.983(2, 48) | 0.379  | NS           |  |  |  |  |
| O2  | 2.50±3.27        | 4.00±3.13             | 4.54±3.45        | 2.528(2, 48) | 0.087  | NS           |  |  |  |  |
|   |                  | N200 ERP component la | atencies (in ms) | (mean±SD)    |        |              |  |  |  |  |
| Fz  | 285.64±63.28     | 275.40±60.00          | 291.38±76.69     | 0.333(2, 48) | 0.718  | NS           |  |  |  |  |
| Cz  | 288.00±70.80     | 285.80±66.95          | 304.38±76.07     | 0.534(2, 48) | 0.589  | NS           |  |  |  |  |
| Pz  | 320.00±66.77     | 305.40±74.44          | 293.50±72.66     | 0.898(2, 48) | 0.412  | NS           |  |  |  |  |
| Fp1   | 268.91±46.81     | 272.40±55.21          | 278.63±68.67     | 0.185(2, 48) | 0.831  | NS           |  |  |  |  |
| Fp2   | 296.55±63.21     | 267.00±54.32          | 284.75±79.31     | 0.979(2, 48) | 0.381  | NS           |  |  |  |  |
| F3  | 288.73±67.18     | 307.40±58.07          | 306.25±67.49     | 0.592(2, 48) | 0.556  | NS           |  |  |  |  |
| F4  | 279.45±58.35     | 269.40±70.06          | 274.50±69.12     | 0.120(2, 48) | 0.887  | NS           |  |  |  |  |
| F7  | 290.91±65.46     | 309.40±65.25          | 312.50±65.15     | 0.769(2, 48) | 0.467  | NS           |  |  |  |  |
| F8  | 316.36±70.52     | 262.60±73.44          | 279.88±74.84     | 3.026(2, 48) | 0.055  | NS           |  |  |  |  |
| C3  | 301.45±68.55     | 301.20±67.46          | 311.50±64.03     | 0.214(2, 48) | 0.808  | NS           |  |  |  |  |
| C4  | 288.55±55.88     | 275.40±61.15          | 287.50±66.77     | 0.298(2, 48) | 0.743  | NS           |  |  |  |  |
| Т3  | 294.18±52.31     | 328.00±62.24          | 326.50±52.83     | 2.734(2, 48) | 0.072  | NS           |  |  |  |  |
| T4  | 308.36±74.43     | 273.60±70.00          | 296.50±72.60     | 1.244(2, 48) | 0.295  | NS           |  |  |  |  |
| T5  | 303.45±58.88     | 297.00±73.51          | 311.38±60.65     | 0.323(2, 48) | 0.725  | NS           |  |  |  |  |
| T6  | 317.45±65.02     | 315.80±74.19          | 293.50±60.64     | 1.129(2, 48) | 0.329  | NS           |  |  |  |  |
| P3  | 289.64±59.03     | 312.80±72.39          | 304.38±66.70     | 0.671(2, 48) | 0.514  | NS           |  |  |  |  |
| P4  | 299.64±60.54     | 287.20±73.69          | 293.38±62.62     | 0.191(2, 48) | 0.827  | NS           |  |  |  |  |
| 01  | 310.73±54.82     | 316.60±64.45          | 291.50±63.10     | 1.226(2, 48) | 0.300  | NS           |  |  |  |  |
| 02  | 327 45+66 32     | 319 80+68 54          | 309 75+68 46     | 0 457(2 48)  | 0.635  | NS           |  |  |  |  |

Note: ns: nonsignificant, s: significant, p≤05

| Sites   | Low           | Medium                        | High                | F (df)        | Р     | Significance |  |  |  |
|---|---------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------------|-------|--------------|--|--|--|
|   | education/G1  | education/G2                  | education/G3        |               |       |              |  |  |  |
|   | (mean ± SD)   | ± SD) (mean ± SD) (mean ± SD) |                     |               |       |              |  |  |  |
| P300 ERP component amplitudes (in μV) (mean±SD) |               |                               |                     |               |       |              |  |  |  |
| Fz  | 6.37±4.29     | 4.99±4.77                     | 5.16±3.73           | 0.723(2, 48)  | 0.489 | NS           |  |  |  |
| Cz  | 5.36±4.20     | 4.20±4.06                     | 4.22±2.76           | 0.781(2, 48)  | 0.462 | NS           |  |  |  |
| Pz  | 6.95±5.78     | 5.43±5.86                     | 4.67±4.96           | 1.142(2, 48)  | 0.325 | NS           |  |  |  |
| Fp1   | 7.84±8.35     | 7.84±7.37                     | 7.04±4.99           | 0.128(2, 48)  | 0.880 | NS           |  |  |  |
| Fp2   | 6.36±7.72     | 8.85±7.82                     | 8.15±7.13           | 0.637(2, 48)  | 0.532 | NS           |  |  |  |
| F3  | 5.52±3.94     | 3.00±4.77                     | 4.80±5.10           | 1.599(2, 48)  | 0.209 | NS           |  |  |  |
| F4  | 6.92±4.68     | 5.39±5.20                     | 5.43±4.27           | 0.807(2, 48)  | 0.450 | NS           |  |  |  |
| F7  | 6.97±8.17     | 4.74±5.27                     | 4.00±5.37           | 1.485(2, 48)  | 0.233 | NS           |  |  |  |
| F8  | 6.34±4.87     | 7.20±5.90                     | 5.74±6.27           | 0.394(2, 48)  | 0.676 | NS           |  |  |  |
| C3  | 4.44±3.05     | 5.55±4.28                     | 4.15±5.57           | 0.589(2, 48)  | 0.558 | NS           |  |  |  |
| C4  | 5.28±4.60     | 4.45±3.41                     | 4.49±3.32           | 0.354(2, 48)  | 0.703 | NS           |  |  |  |
| Т3  | 4.67±3.96     | 3.31±5.55                     | 4.62±4.78           | 0.569(2, 48)  | 0.569 | NS           |  |  |  |
| T4  | 2.82±2.78     | 4.14±4.29                     | 4.90±4.82           | 2.039(2, 48)  | 0.138 | NS           |  |  |  |
| T5  | 3.13±3.94     | 2.91±3.31                     | 4.98±5.11           | 1.842(2, 48)  | 0.166 | NS           |  |  |  |
| Т6  | 2.26±3.46     | 4.17±2.04                     | 6.42±8.48           | 3.186(2, 48)  | 0.047 | S            |  |  |  |
| P3  | 4.85±3.66     | 3.74±3.44                     | 4.29±3.66           | 0.496(2, 48)  | 0.611 | NS           |  |  |  |
| P4  | 4.43±4.18     | 5.17±3.61                     | 4.69±4.53           | 0.170(2, 48)  | 0.844 | NS           |  |  |  |
| 01  | 5.72±4.31     | 4.13±3.77                     | 6.08±3.73           | 1.609(2, 48)  | 0.207 | NS           |  |  |  |
| O2  | 4.05±3.70     | 4.86±4.12                     | 5.84±4.00           | 1.384(2, 48)  | 0.257 | NS           |  |  |  |
|   |               | P300 ERP comp                 | onent latencies (ir | n ms) (mean±S | D)    |              |  |  |  |
| Fz  | 534.18±165.73 | 608.40±178.06                 | 515.13±154.24       | 2.064(2, 48)  | 0.134 | NS           |  |  |  |
| Cz  | 633.82±137.05 | 532.20±179.17                 | 555.00±166.58       | 2.380(2, 48)  | 0.100 | NS           |  |  |  |
| Pz  | 602.00±153.78 | 518.60±161.03                 | 596.00±159.94       | 1.863(2, 48)  | 0.163 | NS           |  |  |  |
| Fp1   | 461.64±132.74 | 591.80±158.99                 | 490.88±154.71       | 4.391(2, 48)  | 0.016 | S            |  |  |  |
| Fp2   | 491.45±155.67 | 578.60±159.43                 | 487.13±154.47       | 2.413(2, 48)  | 0.097 | NS           |  |  |  |
| F3  | 517.27±165.20 | 547.40±160.20                 | 577.75±150.91       | 0.968(2, 48)  | 0.385 | NS           |  |  |  |
| F4  | 512.73±173.99 | 529.00±162.02                 | 563.63±146.77       | 0.721(2, 48)  | 0.490 | NS           |  |  |  |
| F7  | 565.27±185.38 | 611.60±168.55                 | 568.75±148.88       | 0.524(2, 48)  | 0.594 | NS           |  |  |  |
| F8  | 508.36±177.70 | 555.20±153.47                 | 560.13±166.66       | 0.698(2, 48)  | 0.501 | NS           |  |  |  |
| C3  | 574.91±131.56 | 594.00±170.72                 | 564.25±150.07       | 0.240(2, 48)  | 0.788 | NS           |  |  |  |
| C4  | 564.55±167.09 | 576.20±158.52                 | 539.88±160.43       | 0.344(2, 48)  | 0.710 | NS           |  |  |  |
| Т3  | 592.36±168.56 | 595.40±159.18                 | 570.63±150.15       | 0.197(2, 48)  | 0.822 | NS           |  |  |  |
| T4  | 483.27±155.48 | 494.00±144.24                 | 577.13±168.78       | 2.867(2, 48)  | 0.063 | NS           |  |  |  |
| T5  | 555.45±172.02 | 528.60±158.66                 | 588.38±156.42       | 0.869(2, 48)  | 0.424 | NS           |  |  |  |
| T6  | 603.45±165.39 | 565.60±152.44                 | 547.13±167.79       | 0.783(2, 48)  | 0.461 | NS           |  |  |  |
| P3  | 649.27±120.21 | 564.60±155.40                 | 641.38±137.17       | 2.485(2, 48)  | 0.091 | NS           |  |  |  |
| P4  | 601.45±136.04 | 554.80±162.85                 | 581.63±182.35       | 0.423(2, 48)  | 0.657 | NS           |  |  |  |
| 01  | 600.91±157.85 | 505.80±146.17                 | 588.50±161.95       | 2.331(2, 48)  | 0.105 | NS           |  |  |  |
| 02  | 565.82±163.33 | 564.40±137.02                 | 579.50±157.86       | 0.079(2.48)   | 0.924 | NS           |  |  |  |

Table 2. The amplitudes and the latencies of the P300 ERP component across low (G1), medium (G2) and high (G3) education groups. Values represent mean differences in terms of 'likes' and 'dislikes' for the colors presented

Note: ns: nonsignificant, s: significant, p≤05

quicker decision-making [55] and makes use of higher cognitive functions [29]. Taken together, these findings suggest that education improves attention, cognitive processing and improves cognitive functioning in general, which is associated with faster decision-making. Higher amplitudes for both components were evoked in the high education group (G3). However, we note that shorter amplitudes were recorded at some locations in G3, and our results should be interpreted with caution. On the other hand the low education group took the opposite interpretation of the high education group. The N200 latency has an expression on the basis of the discrimination and classification of the visual stimuli that means on the basis of the rapid registration of the visual input which has positive correlation with the latencies of the N200 [60]. Applied to the present study, this suggests that participants in the high education group were able to rapidly register the color stimuli they were presented with: G3 evoked the shortest latencies at most of the electrode positions compared with G2 and G1. Indeed, G1 had the longest latencies, suggesting much slower registration in this group.



Fig. 3. Reaction times (ms) across high, medium and low educational groups

The P300 latency reflects the time taken to attend to and process a stimulus [61], relving on cognitive processes comprising attention. learning, and decision-making. The fact that there were (albeit non-significantly) longer latencies of the P300 component at most of the electrode locations in the lower education group could reflect longer processing times underpinned by attention, learning and decisionmaking processes in this group. The higher education might made the G3 and then G2 groups in more quicker timing process of cognitive functions with the evidence of the significant and non-significant shorter latencies of the P300 component (Table 2). However, higher and lower amplitudes, and longer and shorter latencies were expressed in a mixed manner across all three groups, making it difficult to find a parsimonious interpretation of the results.

# 5. CONCLUSION

We investigated the influence of education (indexed as low, medium or high) on color processing (indexed by RTs and ERPs). Results suggest that participants in the high education had higher attention and cognitive functioning, as well as improved learning and decision-making. Additionally, the high education group was able to process stimuli faster than those in the other groups, presumably because of their increased attention. To conclude, higher education is associated with increased attention, which itself is related to faster decision-making. This ultimately leads to higher cognitive functioning and improved quality of life.

#### **6. LIMITATIONS**

ERP studies are associated with certain limitations with poor spatial resolution and interindividually there are big differences of amplitudes and latencies of the ERP components [32]. Though electrophysiological components analysis alone can give us information about heterogeneity among the groups, but together with endophenotype analysis could reduce the impact of heterogeneity [62,63]. Another limitation of our study was small sample size. Larger sample of participants might be more reliable to generalize the results to a larger population.

#### CONSENT

It is not applicable.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by a short-term grant from Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM) (304/PPSP/61311092) awarded to TB. We thank Dr. Izmer Ahmed and Miss Siti Nor Quamariah Binti Ismail for their technical supports.

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

- Müller MM, Andersen S, Trujillo NJ, Valdés-Sosa P, Malinowski P, Hillyard SA. Feature-selective attention enhances color signals in early visual areas of the human brain. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2006;103(38): 14250–14254.
- Romero MC, Vicente AF, Bermudez MA, Gonzalez F. Color-sensitive neurons in the visual cortex: An interactive view of the visual system, in D. Skusevich, Matikas P, eds, 'Color Perception: Physiology, Processes and Analysis', Nova Science Pub. Inc. 2010;161–183.
- Sasaki H. Cortical and sub-cortical processing of color: A dual processing mode of visual inputs, in D. Skusevich, P. Matikas, eds, 'Color Perception: Physiology, Processes and Analysis'. Nova Science Pub. Inc; 2010.
- Biggam CP, Carole AH, Christian JK, David RS. New Directions in Color Studies. John Benjamins Publishing Company; 2011.
- Ou LC, Luo MR, Sun PL, Hu NC, Chen HS, Guan SS, Woodcock A, Caivano JL, Tremeau RHA, Billger M, Izadan H, Richter K. A cross-cultural comparison of color emotion for two-color combinations. Color Research & Application. 2012;37(1):23–43.
- Stroop JR. Studies of interference in serial verbal reactions. Journal of Experimental Psychology. 1935;18(6):643.
  Hiscock M. Behavioural experimental
- Hiscock M. Behavioural experimental techniques, in K. Hugdahl, ed., 'Experimental Methods in Neuropsychology'. Vol. 21 of Neuropsychology and Cognition Springer US. 2003;21:1–27.
- Chiu WZ, Papma JM, de Koning I, Donker Kaat L, Seelaar H, Reijs AE, Valkema R, Hasan D, Boon AJ, van Swieten JC. Midcingulate involvement in progressive supranuclear palsy and tau positive frontotemporal dementia. Journal of Neurology. Neurosurgery & Psychiatry. 2012;112:3086–3094.
- Beglinger LJ, Unverzagt FW, Beristain X, Kareken D. An updated version of the weigl discriminates adults with dementia from those with mild impairment and healthy controls. Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology. 2008;23(2):149–156.
- Tanaka K, Quadros AC Jr, Santos RF, Stella F, Gobbi LT, Gobbi S. Benefits of physical exercise on executive functions in

older people with parkinson's disease. Brain and Cognition. 2009;69(2):435–441.

- Oh YS, Kim JS, Chung SW, Song IU, Kim YD, Kim YI, Lee KS. Color vision in parkinson's disease and essential tremor. European Journal of Neurology. 2011;18(4):577–583.
- 12. Bohnen N, Roger A, Robert K, Kirk F, Martijn M. Impaired color perception is associated with more severe nigrostriatal denervation in parkinson disease. Journal of Nuclear Medicine. 2013;54:1787.
- 13. Rivas A. Pseudoscience: Color therapy'. Massachusetts Academy of Mathematics and Science; 2009.
- 14. Azeemi S, Yasinzai M, Raza S. A case history of treatment of cutaneous leishmaniasis by chromotherapy. Chinese Medicine. 2011;2:43–46.
- 15. Blakemore SJ, Silvia AB. At the nexus of neuroscience and education. Developmental cognitive neuroscience 2012;2S:S1–S5.
- 16. Urh M, Eva J. Learning habits in higher education', Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences. 2014;116:350–355.
- Czernochowski D, Monica F, David F. Use it or lose it? SES mitigates age-related decline in a recency/recognition task. Neurobiology Aging. 2008;29(6):945–958.
  Piras F. Andrea C, Carlo C, Gianfranco S.
  - 8. Piras F, Andrea C, Carlo C, Gianfranco S. Education mediates microstructural changes in bilateral hippocampus. Human Brain Mapping. 2011;32(2):282–289.
- Begum T, Reza F, Ahmed I, Abdullah JM. Influence of education level on designinduced N170 and P300 components of event related potentials in the human brain. Journal of Integrative Neuroscience. 2014;13(1):71–88.
- Angel L, Fay S, Bouazzaoui B, Baudouin A, Isingrini M. Protective role of educational level on episodic memory aging: An event-related potential study. Brain and Cognition. 2010;74(3):312–323.
- 21. Begum T, Reza F, Hanif MCL, Akbari M, Abdullah JM. Theta oscillation in relation with education: A wavelet transforms (WT) study. IEEE. 2012;3:706–709.
- 22. Begum T, Reza F, Ahmed AL, Elaina S, Abdullah JM. Delta signal in high educational level in auditory oddball paradigm-a wavelet study, in '4<sup>th</sup> International Congress on Image and Signal Processing'. 2011a;2756–2759.
- 23. Begum T, Reza F, Ahmed AL, Ahmed I, Daud WM, Begum FA, Abdullah JM.

Influence of the educational level on design induced N240 and P300 event related components (ERPs) in human brain, in 'International Conference On Medical and Health Sciences. 2013;289.

- 24. Duke-Elder S. Franciscus Cornelis Donders. Br J Ophthalmol. 1959;43:65–8.
- 25. Luce RD. London: Academic Press. Information Theory of Choice. Reaction Times; 1968 Available:<u>http://www.biology.clemson.edu/</u> bpc/bp/Lab/110/reaction.htm
- Welford AT. Choice reaction time: Basic concepts. In: Welford AT, editor. Reaction Times. New York: Academic Press. 1980;73–128.
- Jain A, Bansal R, Kumar A, Singh KD. A comparative study of visual and auditory reaction times on the basis of gender and physical activity levels of medical first year students. Int J Appl Basic Med Res. 2015; 5(2):124–127.
- Spirduso WW, J Gerontol. Reaction and movement time as a function of age and physical activity level. J Gerontol. 1975;30(4):435-40.
- Gavkare AM, Nanaware NL, Surdi AD. Auditory reaction time, visual reaction time and whole body reaction time in athletes. Ind Med Gaz. 2013;6:214–9.
- Light GA, Williams LE, Minow F, Sprock J, Rissling A, Sharp R, Swerdlow NR, Braff DL. Electroencephalography (EEG) and Event-related Potentials (ERP's) with Human Participants. Curr Protoc Neurosc 2010;Chapter 6:unit 6.25:1-32.
- 31. Woodman GF. A brief introduction to the use of event-related potentials (ERPs) in studies of perception and attention. Atten Percept Psychophys. 2010;72(8):1-29.
- Rugg MD, Coles MGH. Electrophysiology of mind. Event-related brain potentials and cognition. Oxford: Oxford University Press, Oxford Psychology Series; 1995.
- Haxby JV, Hoffman EA, Gobbini MI. The distributed human neural system for face perception. Trends Cogn Sci. 2000;4(6): 223–233.
- Lioumis P, Kicic´ D, Savolainen P, Mäkelä JP, Kähkönen S. Reproducibility of TMSevoked EEG responses. Hum Brain Mapp. 2009;30(4):1387–1396.
- 35. Luck SJ. An introduction to the eventrelated potential technique. Cognitive Neuroscience, MIT Press; 2005.

- 36. Kropotov J. Quantitative EEG, Event-Related Potentials and Neurotherapy, Elsevier Science; 2010.
- Davis SF. Cellular neurophysiology, *in* Kaye AD, Davis SF. eds, 'Principles of Neurophysiological Assessment, Mapping, and Monitoring', Springer Science+ Business Media; 2014.
- 38. Buxton RB. Introduction to functional magnetic resonance imaging: Principles and technique. Cambridge University Press; 2009.
- 39. Hopfinger JB, Emily LP. Involuntary attention, in Mangun GR, ed., 'The neuroscience of attention: Attentional Control and Selection'. Oxford University Press, chapter 2; 2012.
- 40. Stahl J, Wiese H, Schweinberger SR. Expertise and own-race bias in face processing: An event-related potential study. Neuroreport. 2008;19(5):583–587.
- 41. Folstein JR, Van Petten C, Rose SA. Novelty and conflict in the categorization of complex stimuli. Psychophysiology. 2008; 45(3):467-79.
- 42. Czigler I, Csibra G, Ambro A. Age and information processing: Event-related potential studies. European Psychologist. 1997;2:247-257.
- 43. Coles M, Smid H, Scheffers M, et al. Mental chronometry and the study of human information processing. In: Rugg M and Coles M, Eds. Electrophysiology of the Mind. New York: Oxford University Press 1995;94-95.
- Brebner JL, Krigolson O, Handy TC, Quadflieg S, Turk DJ. The importance of skin color and facial structure in perceiving and remembering others: An electrophysiological study. Brain Research. 2011;1388:123–133.
- Smith JL, Johnstone SJ, Barry RJ. Effects of pre-stimulus processing on subsequent events in a warned Go/NoGo paradigm: Response preparation, execution and inhibition. Int J Psychophysiol. 2006;61(2): 121–133.
- 46. Kaiser S, Unger J, Kiefer M, Markela J, Mundt C, Weisbrod M. Executive control deficit in depression: Event-related potentials in a Go/Nogo task. Psychiatry Res. 2003;122(3):169–184.
- 47. Posner MI, DiGirolamo GJ. Executive attention: Conflict, target detection and cognitive control. In: Parasuraman R, editor. The Attentive Brain. Cambridge: MIT Press. 1998;401–423.

- Fuster JM. The Prefrontal Cortex: Anatomy, Physiology and Neuropsychology of the Frontal Lobe. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. New York: Raven Press; 1989.
- 49. Brown GG, Kindermann SS, Siegle GJ, Granholm E, Wong EC, Buxton RB. Brain activation and pupil response during covert performance of the Stroop Color Word task. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. 1999;5(4): 308–319.
- 50. Watanabe J, Sugiura M, Sato K, Sato Y, Maeda Y, Matsue Y, Fukuda H, Kawashima R. The human prefrontal and parietal association cortices are involved in NO-GO performances: An event related fMRI study. Neuroimage. 2002;17(3): 1207–1216.
- 51. Russo PM, De Pascalis V, Varriale V, Barratt ES. Impulsivity, intelligence and P300 wave: An empirical study. Int J Psychophysiol. 2008;69(2):112-8.
- 52. Dehaene S, Sergent C, Changeux JP. A neuronal network model linking subjective reports and objective physiological data during conscious perception. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2003;100(14):8520-5.
- Campanella S, Petit G, Maurage P, Kornreich C, Verbanck P, Noël X. Chronic alcoholism: Insights from neurophysiology. Neurophysiol Clin. 2009a;39(4–5):191– 207. 62.
- Campanella S, Guerit JM. How clinical neurophysiology may contribute to the understanding of a psychiatric disease such as schizophrenia. Neurophysiol Clin. 2009b;39(1):31–39.
- 55. Ciucurel MM. The relation between anxiety, reaction time and performance before and after sport competitions. Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences. 2012;33:885–889.
- 56. Banaschewski T, Brandeis D. Annotation: What electrical brain activity tells us about

brain function that other techniques cannot tell us - a child psychiatric perspective. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2007;48(5):415-35.

- 57. Eimer M. The N2pc component as an indicator of attentional selectivity. Electroenceph Clin Neurophysiol. 1996; 99:225–234.
- 58. Cano ME, Class QA, Polich J. Affective valence, stimulus attributes, and P300: Color vs. black/white and normal vs. scrambled images. International Journal Psychophysiology. 2009;71:17–24.
- 59. Kok A, Ramautar JR, Ruiter MB, Band GP, Ridderinkhof KR. ERP components associated with successful and unsuccessful stopping in a stop-signal task. Psychophysiology. 2004;41(1):9–20.
- 60. Portella C, Machado S, Arias-Carrión O, Sack AT, Silva JG, Orsini M, Leite MA, Silva AC, Nardi AE, Cagy M, Piedade R, Ribeiro P. Relationship between early and late stages of information processing: An event-related potential study. Neurol Int. 2012;4(3):e16.
- 61. Vandoolaeghe E, van Hunsel F, Nuyten D, Maes M. Auditory event related potentials in major depression: Prolonged P300 latency and increased P200 amplitude. J Affect Disord. 1998;48(2-3):105-13.
- Calkins ME, Iacono WG. Eye movement dysfunction in schizophrenia: A heritable characteristic for enhancing phenotype definition. Am J Med Genet. 2000;97(1): 72–76.
- 63. Price GW, Michie PT, Johnston J, Innes-Brown H, Kent A, Clissa P, Jablensky AV. A multivariate electrophysiological endophenotype, from a unitary cohort, shows greater research utility than any single feature in the Western Australian family study of schizophrenia. Biol Psychiatry. 2006;60(1):1–10.

© 2016 Hasan et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/16972